



Bio-efficacy of different insecticides against ear head worm *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) on sorghum at milking stage

Nagare RD, Mohammad Ilyas, Ambilwade PP, Savde VG

Department of Agril. Entomology, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra India

Abstract

The experiment was conducted during *Kharif*, 2015 at AICSIP, Sorghum Research Station, VNMKV, Parbhani (MS). And the findings was revealed that the mean population of ear head worm after spray at milking stage was calculated the treatment with lowest population at first day after spray (0.97 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018%) which was closely followed by T₅ (Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @), T₁ (Spinosad 45 SC, 0.0045) and T₄ (Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC, 0.003) with 1.07, 1.13 and 1.47 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively. At third day after spray, fifth, seventh and ten DAS least ear head worm population was observed 1.01, 1.47, 1.62 and 2.42 in T₇ (Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018%), respectively.

Keywords: ear head worm *H. armigera*, bio-efficacy, different insecticide and milking stage

Introduction

Sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] is an important cereal crop in India popularly known as 'Jawar', or 'Great millet'. The advantage of this cereal crop is that it can be cultivated in both *Kharif* and *Rabi* season. It having important feed and food crop in the world and used as fodder to feed millions of animals providing milk and meat for human being. Sorghum is very nutritious its fodder contains more than 50 per cent digestible nutrients with 8 per cent protein, 2.5 per cent fat and 45 per cent nitrogen free extract.

Maharashtra is foremost sorghum growing states in the country with an area, production, productivity of *Kharif* jowar was 6.80 lakh ha, 5.85 lakh tonnes and 860 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Anonymous 2014) [1]. Also, in Marathwada region area, production, productivity of *Kharif* jowar was 3.66 lakh ha, 1.97 lakh tonnes and 539 kg ha⁻¹, respectively (Anonymous, 2014) [1]. About 150 insect species have been recorded on sorghum. Out of which 31 species are economically important. In Maharashtra about 18 important insect pests have been recorded on sorghum crop. The major being sorghum *H. armigera* Hubner (Lepidoptera; Noctuidae) is one of the serious polyphagous pest attacking more than 180 plants and worldwide occurrence inflicting annual crop damage worth US \$1 billion in India. The caterpillar cause major damage to the crops as it attacks reproductive parts and growing tips. The management of *H. armigera* is very difficult in many crops, including cotton, pigeonpea, sorghum and relies heavily on the use of chemical insecticides (Romeis *et al.*, 1999) [8].

The pyrethroids and organophosphorus combination insecticides were found to be effective against the resistant

insect pest population of *H. armigera* (Martin *et al.*, 2003) [6]. The efficacy of fungal pathogen, *Nomuraea rileyi* (Farlow) Samson has been proven against *H. armigera* by number of workers in groundnut, soybean and cotton ecosystems (Patil, 2000; Hegde, 2001) [7, 5]. Moreover application of chemical insecticides in sorghum against *H. armigera* is difficult task because of toxic nature of the chemical to the applicator and tall nature of the crop. The present recommendation depends heavily on insecticide. Hence, the insect pathogens were tried under field conditions. Considering the importance of bio-efficacy of different insecticides against sorghum ear head worm under field condition present investigation was done.

Material and Method

Experimental details

- | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. Experimental Design | : Randomized Block Design (RBD) |
| 2. Replications | : Three |
| 3. Treatments | : Ten |
| 4. Spacing | : 45 cm X 15 cm |
| 5. Crop | : Sorghum |
| 6. Cultivar | : SPH-1641 |
| 7. Plot size | : 12 rows of 4m (5.4 m X 4 m) |
| 8. Method of sowing | : Dibbling |
| 9. Date of sowing | : 20 th June, 2015. |

Application of Insecticides

Insecticides (Table 1) at their prescribed doses was applied at milking stage of sorghum crop. The volume of spray water were worked out before insecticidal spray by spraying plain water on control plot and spraying was done in early morning hours to avoid mid day heat.

Table 1: Details of insecticides used in experiment

Tr. No.	Treatment	Conc. (%)	Formulation dose g or ml/10 lit. of water
T1	Spinosad 45 SC	0.0045	1 ml
T2	Cypermethrin 10 EC	0.005	5 ml
T3	Quinalphos 25 EC	0.05	20 ml
T4	Lambda-cyhalothrin 5 EC	0.003	6 ml
T5	Novaluron 10 EC	0.01	10 ml
T6	Azadirachtin 5 %	0.002	20 ml
T7	Emamectin benzoate 5 SG	0.0018	3.5 g
T8	Bacillus thuringiensis	-	20 g
T9	Beauveria bassiana	-	40 g
T10	Untreated control	-	-

Method was done to Record Observations

The total number of larvae were recorded from randomly selected 10 plants in each plot. The pre-treatment observation were recorded one day before one insecticidal spray at milking stage and post treatment observation were recorded at 1st, 3rd,

5th, 7th, 10th days after spray (after 102 days).

Results

The results in respect of effect of different insecticides on population of ear head worm at milking stage are presented in table-2.

Table 2: Bio-efficacy of different insecticides against ear head worm of sorghum at milking stage

Tr. No	Treatment details	Ear head worm population/panicle					
		1 DAS	3 DAS	5 DAS	7 DAS	10 DAS	Mean
1	Spinosad 45 SC @ 1 ml / 10 lit	1.13 (1.05)	1.18 (1.07)	1.50 (1.22)	1.78 (1.33)	2.58 (1.60)	2.88 (1.69)
2	Cypermethrin 10 EC @ 5 ml / 10 lit	1.73 (1.31)	1.78 (1.33)	2.28 (1.50)	2.52 (1.56)	3.32 (1.82)	4.78 (2.18)
3	Quinalphos 25 EC @ 20 ml / 10 lit	1.60 (1.25)	1.65 (1.27)	2.17 (1.46)	2.25 (1.49)	3.05 (1.74)	2.21 (1.48)
4	Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC @ 6 ml / 10 lit	1.47 (1.20)	1.52 (1.22)	2.18 (1.47)	2.12 (1.45)	2.92 (1.70)	4.18 (2.01)
5	Novaluron 10 EC @ 10 ml / 10 lit	1.07 (1.02)	1.12 (1.04)	1.62 (1.26)	1.72 (1.30)	2.52 (1.58)	1.61 (1.26)
6	Azadirachtin 5% @ 20 ml / 10 lit	3.83 (1.95)	3.37 (1.82)	3.53 (1.87)	3.97 (1.98)	4.77 (2.17)	4.81 (2.17)
7	Emamectin Benzoate 5 SG @ 3.5 gm / 10 lit	0.97 (0.97)	1.02 (1.00)	1.47 (1.21)	1.62 (1.26)	2.42 (1.55)	2.08 (1.43)
8	<i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> @ 20 gm / 10 lit	2.57 (1.59)	2.62 (1.61)	3.12 (1.76)	3.22 (1.79)	4.02 (2.00)	5.25 (2.26)
9	<i>Beuveria bassiana</i> @ 40 gm/ 10 lit	4.03 (2.00)	4.08 (2.01)	4.25 (2.05)	4.68 (2.16)	5.48 (2.34)	5.40 (2.30)
10	Untreated control	7.63 (2.73)	7.68 (2.74)	8.18 (2.83)	8.28 (2.85)	9.08 (2.99)	10.18 (3.19)
	S.E. ±	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.12
	C.D. at 5%	0.24	0.21	0.24	0.29	0.26	0.37
	CV %	11.08	12.18	8.629	10.05	8.194	12.06

* Figures in parentheses denote $\sqrt{x + 0.5}$ transformed values. DBS- Day before spray and DAS- Days after spray

Ear head worm population one day after spray at milking stage

The mean of ear head worm population at 1st day after spray (at milking stage of crop) varied from 0.97 to 7.63 ear head worms per panicle. Significantly less incidence was observed in all the insecticidal treatments (except T₉- spray of *Beuveria bassiana* @ 40g/10 lit. of water) over untreated control. However, the lowest population (0.97 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018% @ 3.5g/10 lit. of water) which was closely followed by T₅ (spray of Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @ 10ml/10 lit. of water), T₁ (spray of Spinosad 45 SC, 0.0045 @ 1ml/10 lit. of water) and T₄ (spray of Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC, 0.003 @ 6 ml/10 lit. of water) with 1.07, 1.13 and 1.47 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively.

Ear head worm population third day after spray at milking stage

The mean of ear head worm population at 3rd day after spray (at milking stage of crop) varied from 1.02 to 7.68 ear head worms per panicle. Significantly less incidence was observed

in all the insecticidal treatments (except T₉- spray of *Beuveria bassiana* @ 40g/10 lit. of water) over untreated control. However, the lowest ear head worm population (1.01 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018% @ 3.5g/10 lit. of water) which was closely followed by T₅ (spray of Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @ 10ml/10 lit. of water) and T₁ (spray of Spinosad 45 SC, 0.0045 @ 1ml/10 lit. of water) with 1.12 and 1.18 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively.

Ear head worm population fifth day after spray at milking stage

The mean of ear head worm population at 5th day after spray (at milking stage) varied from 1.47 to 8.18 ear head worms per panicle. Significantly less incidence of ear head worm was observed in all the insecticidal treatments (except T₉- spray of *Beuveria bassiana* @ 40g/10 lit. of water) over untreated control. However, the lowest ear head worm population (1.47 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018% @ 3.5g/10 lit. of water) which was closely followed by T₁ (spray of Spinosad 45 SC,

0.0045 @ 1ml/10 lit. of water) and T₅ (spray of Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @ 10ml/10 lit. of water) and with 1.50 and 1.62 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively.

Ear head worm population seventh day after spray at milking stage

The mean of ear head worm population at 7th day after spray (at milking stage of crop) varied from 1.62 to 8.28 ear head worms per panicle. Significantly less incidence of ear head worm was observed in all the insecticidal treatments (except T₉- spray of *Beuveria bassiana* @ 40g/10 lit. of water) over untreated control. However, the lowest ear head worm population (1.62 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018% @ 3.5g/10 lit. of water) which was closely followed by T₅ (spray of Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @ 10ml/10 lit. of water), T₁ (spray of Spinosad 45 SC, 0.0045 @ 1ml/10 lit. of water), T₄ (spray of Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC, 0.003 @ 6 ml/10 lit. of water) and T₃ (spray of Quinalphos 25 EC, 0.05 @ 20 ml/10 lit. of water) with 1.72, 1.78, 2.12 and 2.25 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively.

Ear head worm population tenth day after spray at milking stage

Same trend as above was observed in all insecticidal treatments at 10th day after spray at milking stage in reducing ear head worm population. highest ear head worm population (9.08 ear head worm/panicle) was noticed in untreated control whereas the lowest ear head worm population (2.42 ear head worm/panicle) was recorded in T₇ (spray of Emamectin benzoate 5 SG, 0.0018% @ 3.5g/10 lit. of water). The next best treatments were T₅ (spray of Novaluron 10 EC, 0.01% @ 10ml/10 lit. of water), T₁ (spray of Spinosad 45 SC, 0.0045 @ 1ml/10 lit. of water), T₄ (spray of Lambda cyhalothrin 5 EC, 0.003 @ 6 ml/10 lit. of water) and T₃ (spray of Quinalphos 25 EC, 0.05 @ 20ml/10 lit. of water) with 2.52, 2.58, 2.92 and 3.05 mean ear head worms per panicle respectively. All the above five insecticidal treatments were at par with each other and significantly superior over rest of the insecticidal treatments in respect of reducing ear head worm infestation. Above results are in close agreement with the findings of Singh and Kumar (2012) ^[9] found that Emamectin benzoate 0.0015 per cent Flubendiamide 0.007 per cent and Rynaxypyr 0.009 per cent were most effective in reducing the *H. armigera* population and pod damage of chick pea. Govindan *et al.* (2011) ^[4] reported that Emamectin benzoate 5 SG @ 11g a.i/ha was effectively reduced the larval population of *H. armigera* 7 days after application. Ghosal *et al.* (2016) reported that among the three selected dose of Novaluron 5.25 per cent + Indoxacarb 4.5 per cent SC (750, 825, 875 ml ha⁻¹); the said chemical @ 875 ml ha⁻¹ was recorded as best in managing *H. armigera* population up to harvesting period (mean 0.03 per cent infested pod of both years), while, @ 825 ml ha⁻¹ also recorded remarkable effect on the target pest. Deshmukh *et al.* (2010) ^[2] revealed that Flubendiamide 0.007 per cent, Indoxacarb 0.0075 per cent, Spinosad 0.009 per cent and Emamectin benzoate 0.0015 per cent were found the most effective in reducing the *H. armigera* population and pod damage of chickpea.

References

1. Anonymous Area. Production and productivity estimates of sorghum in India during kharif (monsoon). Indian Economy, 2002-2003-2014-2015. www.indiastat.com
2. Deshmukh SG, Sureja BV, Jethva DM, Chatar VP. Field efficacy of different insecticides against *Helicoverpa armigera* (hubner) infesting chickpea. Legume Res. 2010; 33(4):269-273.
3. Ghosal A, Dolai AK, Chatterjee ML. Bioefficacy of new ready mixed insecticide (novaluron 5.25% + indoxacarb 4.5%SC) against pigeon pea pod borer (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner) Legume Research. 2016; 39(1):135-139.
4. Govindan K, Gunesekaran K, Kuttalam S, Aiswarya KK. Bioefficacy of emamectin benzoate 5 SG in cotton. Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci. 2011; 19:364-368.
5. Hegde R. Exploitation of *Nomurae rileyi* (Farlow) Samson against important lepidopterous pests of potato, cotton and chickpea. Ph.D. Thesis University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, India, 2001, 161.
6. Martin T, Ochou GO, Vaissayre M, Fournier D. Monitoring of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) from 1998 to 2002 in Cote d'Ivoire, West Africa. Resistant Pest Management Newsletter. 2003; 12(2):51-55.
7. Patil RK. Ecofriendly approach for the management of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricus) in groundnut. Ph.D. Thesis University of Agricultural Sciences Dharwad, 2000, 157.
8. Romeis JT, Shanower G, Zebitz CPW. *Trichogramma sp.* egg parasitism of *Helicoverpa armigera* on pigeonpea and sorghum in southern India, Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata. 1999; 90:59-81.
9. Singh AK, Amit Kumar. Evaluation of new molecules in IPM modules against *Helicoverpa armigera* in chick pea. Ann. Pl. Protec. Sci. 2012; 20:19-21.