

Ocurrence of leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae)-A major pest of rice in eastern Vidarbha (Maharashtra)

Madhukar F Jadhao*

Department of Zoology, S. N. Mor College, Tumsar, Bhandara, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

A pest complex of about 23 insect pests belonging to 7 orders and 14 families was recorded and identified during both *kharif* and *rabi* seasons. Among the lepidopteran pest species, a leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* was infesting rice crop very commonly causing major damage and thus representing major pest status. The peak density of *C. medinalis* in the *kharif* season was much higher than that of the *rabi* season. The damage was severe in the later stage of the crop growth, particularly in shady areas.

The correlation analysis study showed that *C. medinalis* and its damage had significantly positive correlation with maximum and minimum temperatures and wind velocity during *rabi* season, whereas it showed highly significant negative correlation with minimum temperature and relative humidity during *kharif* season. The linear regression equations derived from the present study may helpful in predicting the occurrence of this pest in rice ecosystem of this region.

Keywords: Correlation, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis*, linear regression, peak density, rice pests, Vidarbha

Introduction

The insect pests are one of the major yields limiting biotic factors for rice crop throughout the world. About 300 species of insects in India have been reported to attack rice crop, of which 20 have been reported as the major pests (Pathak, 1977, Arora and Dhaliwal, 1996)^[2, 16] causing 21 to 51 percent yield losses (Singh and Dhaliwal, 1994)^[20]. Some attempts have been made to study the incidence of rice pests and their natural enemies in other states of India such as Andhra Pradesh, Punjab, Gujarat, Karnataka, Bihar and Uttaranchal (Brar *et al.*, 1994; Pandya *et al.*, 1995; Naganagoud *et al.*, 1999; Rai *et al.*, 2000; (Pushpakumari & Tiwari, 2005)^[5, 12, 13, 17, 18], but there was no information on the occurrence of minor pest, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* in relation to climatic conditions of eastern Vidarbha (Maharashtra), especially Bhandara and Gondia districts, rice bowls of state. The present paper describes the occurrence of a major pest, *C. medinalis* and its population fluctuations in relation to climatic conditions of this region.

Materials and Methods

Rice crop in eastern Vidarbha is grown in monsoon and summer seasons one after the other and called as *kharif* and *rabi*, respectively. The survey of leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* was carried out in the farmer's fields of Bhandara and Gondia districts during both *kharif* (monsoon crop) and *rabi* (summer crop) rice seasons from 2019 to 2020.

Regular monitoring of the occurrence and abundance of leaf folder, *C. medinalis* in rice fields was made visually as well as by hand collection or by hand in 1 x 1 quadrat sample from five randomly selected spots in the field.

The population *C. medinalis* was estimated at weekly interval in 1x1m quadrat from five randomly selected spots in each field site under study. Its population and damage were assessed by counting the adults and folded leaves per m². Simultaneously information on weather parameters was also collected from near by meteorological department. The statistical analysis of the data and weather parameters were

carried out by Pearson correlation and bilinear regression by using software SPSS 7.0 version.

Results and Discussions

During both *rabi* and *kharif* seasons, a pest complex of about 23 insect pests belonging to 7 orders and 14 families was recorded and identified. It consisted of 11 lepidopteran, 5 homopteran, 2 each of heteropteran and coleopteran and 1 each of dipteran, thysanopteran and orthopteran species. Among the defoliators, leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Fig. 13,14,15, and 16) was observed as the major pests during both *rabi* and *kharif* seasons causing considerable damage to rice crop as a leaf folder. The larva folds the leaf and scrapes the green tissues from within and cause scorching and leaf drying. One larva can destroy several leaves by its feeding. Under heavy infestation, each rice plant has several rolled leaves, which severely restricts the photosynthetic activity. In Gujarat the major pests during summer and wet-season crop were *S. incertulus* and *C. medinalis* while *Pelopidas mathias* and *Di cladispa armigera* were minor pests (Pandya *et al.*, 1995)^[13].



Fig 1: Leaf folder- 13. Adult mot, 14. Caterpillar larva, 15. Pupa, and 16. Damage caused by larva

The overall population growth rate and peak density of *C. medinalis* in the *kharif* season were much higher than that of the *rabi* season. The damage was severe in the later stage of the crop growth, particularly in shady areas. Misuse of insecticides and excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizers have been cited as the cause for high *C. medinalis* populations (Dhaliwal *et al.*, 1979)^[7].

The infestation of *C. medinalis* was evidenced throughout the year in both *rabi* and *kharif* season but the degree of infestation was varied (Table 1,2 & 3,4). During *rabi* 2019 occurrence of adults started from 3rd week of March reaching highest peak (1.8 adults/m²) at 91 DAT (May 1st week). The leaf damage was evidenced from April 3rd week (77 DAT) and reached to its highest in May 1st week (91 DAT). However, in *kharif* 2019 the occurrence of adults and leaf damage was simultaneously observed in the last week of August (14 DAT) and continued till the harvest of the crop. The adult population was dominating in October (11.2 adults/m²) and the leaf damage was highest in the month of November (20.2 damaged leaves/m²).

In *rabi* 2020 the adult population and leaf damage were negligible till April 3rd week (77 DAT) and a very low population of adults (0.4 adults/m²) was appeared from last week of April to middle of May. During this period the leaf damage at the rate of 1.2 to 1.6 leaves/m² was noticed. This type of population trend was also existed in *kharif* 2020 where negligible number of adults and leaf damage was evidenced till October 1st week (42 DAT). Thereafter, the adult population and leaf damage appeared in very low proportion as compared to *kharif* 2019 till the harvest of crop. This trend of population in 2019-2020 indicated that *C. medinalis* was a major and sporadic pest of rice ecosystem of this region. Moreover, its infestation was always high in *kharif* season mainly in October as compared to *rabi* seasons. The collection of maximum number of moths in the month of September-October in the trap catches was reported in Gujarat (Upadhyay *et al.* 1981; Khorat & Pathak, 1996)^[9], Japan (Miyahara, 1990)^[11, 22], Madhya Pradesh (Bhatnagar *et al.*, 1999)^[4] and in Pakistan

(Alvi *et al.* 2003)^[1]. However, Puspakumari and Tiwari (2005) in their one-year study of *C. medinalis* population trend and leaf damage reported the highest leaf damage in 3rd week of August, which decline in subsequent weeks. Thereafter they could not record the adult population in the field from September to 3rd week of October but the adults appeared in October last week and continued till November 1st week, causing most of the damage in flag leaves.

Outbreaks of *C. medinalis* were earlier reported from India (Yadava *et al.*, 1972 & Chatterjee 1979)^[6, 24], Southern Japan (Hirao 1981)^[8] and Vietnam (Bautisa *et al.*, 1984). Dhaliwal *et al.* (1979)^[7] cited the misuse of insecticides and excessive use of nitrogenous fertilizers as the cause for high leaf folder population. According to Regupathy and Subramanian (1972)^[19] a combined application of high doses of NPK alters the metabolism of rice plants in favour of *C. medinalis* development and multiplication. The incidence of LF was positively correlated to the level of nitrogen fertilizers (Regupathy & Subramanian, 1972; Michael Raj & Morachan, 1973; Subhaia & Morachan, 1974; and Upadhyay *et al.* 1981)^[10, 19, 21]. In this region the use of nitrogenous fertilizers might be one of the reasons for the incidence of *C. medinalis* as it was the routine practice of addition of chemical fertilizers by the farmers.

The high humidity and optimum temperature are the conducive ecological factors for the rapid multiplication of *C. medinalis* (Pathak, 1975)^[15]. According to Wada and Kobayashi and Shimazu (1980)^[23] the threshold temperatures for development of egg, larva and pupa are 12.5, 12.2, and 14.2 °C, respectively. Bhatnagar *et al.* (1999)^[4] reported that it exhibited a significant negative correlation with minimum temperature, evening RH and rain fall and a positive significant correlation with maximums temperature and sun shine hours. In the present study it exhibited a significant negative correlation with minimum temperature, morning, and evening RH during *kharif* seasons, whereas it showed a significant positive correlation with maximum and minimum temperatures during *rabi* seasons (Table 5 & 6).

Table 1: Population fluctuation of Leaf Folder (LF), *C. medinalis* during *Rabi*, 2019

Month & Week	DAT	Mean Population of LF/hill		Weather Parameters			
				Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)	
		Adults	Damaged leaves	Max.	Min.	Morning	Evening
Feb. II	7	0.0	0.0	27.5	21.5	74	66
Feb. III	14	0.0	0.0	31.0	22.0	70	64
Feb. IV	21	0.0	0.0	31.0	22.5	59	49
Mar I	28	0.0	0.0	35.0	28.5	69	48
Mar II	35	0.0	0.0	32.0	19.0	41	41
Mar III	42	0.0	0.0	35.0	28.0	53	45
Mar IV	49	0.2	0.0	34.5	26.0	72	45
Mar V	56	0.2	0.0	36.5	26.5	53	39
April I	63	0.4	0.0	39.0	32.0	44	36
April II	70	0.4	0.0	39.0	31.0	52	39
April III	77	0.4	0.4	42.0	35.0	42	29
April IV	84	0.6	0.8	43.0	34.5	37	24
May I	91	1.8	1.2	41.5	33.0	44	35

DAT- Days after transplanting, LF-Leaf folder

Table 2: Population fluctuation of Leaf Folder (LF), *C. medinalis* during *Rabi*, 2020

Month & Week	DAT	Mean Population of LF/hill		Weather Parameters			
				Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)	
		Adults	Damaged leaves	Max.	Min.	Morning	Evening
Feb. II	7	0.0	0.0	30.0	14.3	78	33

Feb. III	14	0.0	0.0	30.0	16.5	66	28
Feb. IV	21	0.0	0.0	35.0	19.0	64	23
Mar I	28	0.0	0.0	34.5	18.0	50	20
Mar II	35	0.0	0.0	33.5	19.5	53	29
Mar III	42	0.0	0.0	39.5	25.5	57	21
Mar IV	49	0.0	0.0	41.5	21.0	51	16
Mar V	56	0.0	0.0	38.0	24.0	52	37
April I	63	0.0	0.0	40.5	31.0	48	25
April II	70	0.0	0.0	44.0	34.0	23	12
April III	77	0.0	0.0	43.5	33.5	43	18
April IV	84	0.4	1.2	42.0	33.0	48	25
May I	91	0.4	1.6	39.5	32.0	49	28
May II	98	0.4	1.4	41.5	30.5	60	36
May III	105	0.0	0.0	44.0	34.0	33	16

DAT- Days after transplanting, LF-Leaf folder

Table 3: Population fluctuation of Leaf Folder (LF), *C. medinalis* during *Kharif*, 2019

Month & Week	DAT	Mean Population of LF/hill		Weather Parameters			
				Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)	
		Adults	Damaged leaves	Max.	Min.	Morning	Evening
Aug. IV	7	0.0	0.0	28.0	24.0	92	87
Aug. V	14	0.8	1.4	29.0	26.0	92	92
Sept. I	21	1.2	3.0	27.0	24.0	92	81
Sept. II	28	0.8	3.4	29.0	25.0	92	92
Sept. III	35	0.6	0.6	33.0	27.5	84	76
Sept. IV	42	0.4	1.0	31.0	25.0	96	78
Oct. I	49	1.6	3.2	31.0	23.0	84	71
Oct. II	56	2.6	3.8	33.5	25.5	58	76
Oct. III	63	11.2	8.4	33.0	22.0	84	63
Oct. IV	70	7.6	13.0	29.0	20.0	78	60
Nov. I	77	4.0	19.6	33.0	24.0	84	71
Nov. II	84	3.0	20.2	32.0	21.5	75	56
Nov. III	91	1.6	18.4	30.5	19.0	81	49

DAT- Days after transplanting, LF-Leaf folder

Table 4: Population fluctuation of Leaf Folder (LF), *C. medinalis* during *Kharif*, 2020

Month & Week	DAT	Mean Population of LF/hill		Weather Parameters			
				Temperature (°C)		Relative Humidity (%)	
		Adults	Damaged leaves	Max.	Min.	Morning	Evening
Aug. IV	7	0.0	0.0	33.0	26.0	80	51
Aug. V	14	0.0	0.0	33.0	25.5	84	81
Sept. I	21	0.0	0.0	34.0	26.0	77	62
Sept. II	28	0.0	0.0	33.0	26.0	84	75
Sept. III	35	0.0	0.0	33.0	27.0	81	75
Sept. IV	42	0.0	0.0	32.5	26.0	84	66
Oct. I	49	0.2	1.0	35.5	26.0	70	61
Oct. II	56	0.2	2.0	31.5	23.0	67	60
Oct. III	63	1.6	1.4	32.0	21.0	62	49
Oct. IV	70	0.4	1.4	32.0	21.5	67	52
Nov. I	77	1.4	1.4	31.5	21.0	65	57
Nov. II	84	0.4	1.2	33.0	24.0	79	59
Nov. III	91	0.2	1.0	31.5	19.0	72	42

DAT- Days after transplanting, LF-Leaf folder

Table 5: Correlation between weather parameters and population of *C. medinalis* (G.) during *Rabi*, 2019 and 2020

LF	Weather para-meters	<i>Rabi</i> 2019		<i>Rabi</i> 2020	
		Correlation coefficient (r)	Regression equations	Correlation coefficient (r)	Regression equations
LF adult (L ₁)	A ₁	0.669*	L ₁ = -2.167+0.069 A ₁	0.274	L ₁ = -0.286+0.010 A ₁
	A ₂	0.612*	L ₁ = -1.273+0.047 A ₂	0.451	L ₁ = -0.183+0.010 A ₂
	A ₃	-0.478	L ₁ = 1.298+(-0.018) A ₃	0.026	L ₁ = 0.063+0.000 A ₃
	A ₄	-0.509	L ₁ = 1.196+(-0.021) A ₄	0.360	L ₁ =-0.115+0.008) A ₄
	A ₅	0.532	L ₁ = 0.366+0.229 A ₅	0.290	L ₁ = -0.036+0.014 A ₅
Damaged leaves	A ₁	0.664*	L ₂ = -1.740+0.054 A ₁	0.259	L ₂ = 0.940+0.032 A ₁
	A ₂	0.604*	L ₂ = - 1.037+0.044 A ₂	0.444	L ₂ = -0.634+0.036 A ₂
	A ₃	-0.527	L ₂ = 1.041+(-0.016) A ₃	0.028	L ₂ = 0.216+0.001 A ₃

(L ₂)	A ₄	-0.543	L ₂ = 0.927+(-0.017) A ₄	0.366	L ₂ = -0.421+0.029 A ₄
	A ₅	0.584*	L ₂ = -0.394+0.071) A ₅	0.313	L ₂ = -0.161+0.054 A ₅

Table 6: Correlation between weather parameters and population of *C. medinalis* (G.) during *kharif*, 2019 and 2020

LF	Weather para-meters	Kharif 2019		Kharif 2020	
		Correlation coefficient (r)	Regression equations	Correlation coefficient (r)	Regression equations
LF adult (L ₁)	A ₁	0.334	L ₁ = -12.822+0.506 A ₁	-0.414	L ₁ = 6.786+(-0.197) A ₁
	A ₂	-0.488	L ₁ = 18.165+(-0.655) A ₂	-0.642*	L ₁ = 0.519+(-0.133) A ₂
	A ₃	-0.302	L ₁ = 10.955+(-0.098) A ₃	-0.765**	L ₁ = 4.161+(-0.051) A ₃
	A ₄	-0.511	L ₁ = 11.782+(-0.124) A ₄	-0.442	L ₁ = 1.622+(-0.021) A ₄
	A ₅	-0.245	L ₁ = 4.553+(-0.229) A ₅	-0.250	L ₁ = 0.505+(-0.056) A ₅
Damaged leaves (L ₂)	A ₁	0.301	L ₂ = -25.734+1.079 A ₁	-0.437	L ₂ = 10.065+(-0.285) A ₁
	A ₂	-0.711**	L ₂ = 60.578+(-2.256) A ₂	-0.733**	L ₂ = 5.700+(-0.207) A ₂
	A ₃	-0.367	L ₂ = 31.103+(-0.282) A ₃	-0.860**	L ₂ = 6.614+(-0.079) A ₃
	A ₄	-0.784**	L ₂ = 40.254+(-0.449) A ₄	-0.564*	L ₂ = 2.965+(-0.037) A ₄
	A ₅	-0.559*	L ₂ = 17.254+(-1.232) A ₅	-0.456	L ₂ = 1.141+(-0.139) A ₅

LF - Leaf folder, A₁- Max. Temp; A₂- Min. Temp; A₃-Relative Humidity (Mor.), A₄- Relative Humidity (Eve.), A₅- Wind vel
 * -Correlation is significant at 0.05 (5%) levels; ** -Correlation is significant at 0.01 (1%) levels.

References

- Alvi SM, Ali MA, Chaudhary S, Iqbal S. Population trends and chemical control of rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* on rice crop. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*,2003:5(4):615-617.
- Arora R, Dhaliwal GS. Agro-ecological changes and insect pest problems in Indian agriculture. *Indian J. Ecol*,1996:23:109-122.
- Bautista RC, Heinrichs EA, Rejesus RS. Economic injury levels for the rice leaf folder *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Lepidoptera: Pryalidae): insect infestation and artificial leaf removal. *Environ. Entomol*,1984:13:439-443.
- Bhatnagar A, Saxena RR, Bhatnagar A. Environmental correlates of population buildup of rice insect pests through light trap catches. *Oryza*,1999:36(3):241-245.
- Brar DS, Shenhmar M, Mahal MS, Singh R, Singh R. Egg parasitoids of YSB, *S. incertulus* (Lep: Pyralidae) in Punjab. *Journal of insect science*,1994:7:61-63.
- Chatterjee PB. Rice leaf folder attacks in India. *Intl. Rice Newsl*,1979:4(3):21.
- Dhaliwal GS, Shahi HN, Gill PS Maskina. Field reaction of rice varieties to leaf folder at various nitrogen levels. *Ind. Rice Rec. Newsl*,1979:4(3):7.
- Hirao J. Widespread outbreak of immigrating leaf folders and whitebacked planthoppers in southwestern Japan. *Intl. Rice Res. Newsl*,1981:6(5):18.
- Korat DM, Pathak AR. Present status of rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Guen.) and its management in Gujarat. *Pestology*,1996:20(7):5-11.
- Michael Raj S, Morachan YB. Effect of fertilization and diazinon application on the incidence of stem borer and leaf roller on rice. *Madras Agric. J*,1973:60:431-435.
- Miyahara Y. The moths of rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guenee, and the Hawaiian beet webworm, *Hymenia recurvalis*, found on seashore vegetation during the summer and autumn. *Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology*,1990:34(1):21-27.
- Naganagoud A, Patil BV, Sreenivas AG. Studies on the light trap catches of major pests of rice in Tungabhadra project area. *Karnataka journal of Agricultural Sciences*,1999:12:191-194.
- Pandya SK, Senapati B, Samalo AP. Field efficacy of some insecticide against rice planthoppers and their predators. *Pestology*,1995:19(8):15-19.
- Pathak MD. Biology of some insect pests of rice. *Ann. Rev. Entomol*,1967:13:257-294.
- Pathak MD. *Insect Pests of Rice*. The international Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines, 1975.
- Pathak MD. *Insect Pest of Rice*. International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, 1977, 77.
- Pushpakumari AS, Tiwari SN. Incidence and population dynamics of rice pests and their natural enemies in Uttaranchal. *Pestology*,2005:29(2):9-13.
- Rai AK, Sinha RBP, Singh AK. Effect of abiotic factors on the population of rice leaf folder, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* (Gn.). *Annals of Plant Protection Science*,2000:8:154-158.
- Regupathy A, Subramanian A. Effect of different doses of fertilizers on the mineral metabolism of IR8 rice in relation to its susceptibility to Gall fly, *Pachydiplosis oryzae* Wood-Mason and leaf roller, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guenee. *Oryza*,1972:9:81-85.
- Singh J, Dhaliwal GS. *Insect Pest Management in Rice; A perspective*, In: G. S. Dhaliwal and Ramesh Arora (eds.) *Trends in Agricultural Pest Management*. Common- Wealth Publisher, New Delhi, 1994, 56-112.
- Subbaiah KK, Morachan YB. Effect of nitrogen and rice varieties on the incidence of leaf roller (*Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guen.). *Madras Agric. J*,1974:61:716.
- Upadhyay VR, Shah AH, Desai ND. Influence of levels of nitrogen and rice varieties on the incidence of rice leaf folder *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guenee. *Gujarat Agric. Univ. Res. J*,1981:6:115-117.
- Wada T, Kobayashi M, Shimazu M. Seasonal changes of the proportion of mated females in the field population of the rice leaf roller, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guenee (Lepidoptera: Pryalidae). *Appl. Entomol. Zool*,1980:15:81-89.
- Yadava CP, Santaram G, Israel P, Kalode MB. Life history of rice leaf-roller, *Cnaphalocrosis medinalis* Guenee (Lepidoptera: Pryalidae) and its reaction to some rice varieties and grasses. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*,1972:42:520-523.