

## Ticks and acaricide resistance: A major concern

Dilpreet Kaur<sup>1</sup>, Amalesh Yadav<sup>2</sup>, Nikhilesh Sharan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Zoology, Maharana Pratap Govt. P.G College, Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Maharana Pratap Govt. P.G College, Hardoi, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Abstract

Ticks are blood sucking ectoparasites that are prevalent in tropical and subtropical regions. Ticks not only spread diseases but also reduce sales of meat, dairy products, and skins. Many acaricides are being used to control tick infestations. These comprise organochlorine, organophosphates, fipronil, macrocyclic lactones, amitraz, formamidines, and synthetic pyrethroids. The inattentive and frequent use of the acaricides have developed resistant to these chemicals in different tick species. Acaricide resistance in ticks can occur through a number of processes, including metabolic detoxification and changes in amino acid composition that change the acaricide target's shape. The purpose of this paper is to give a general overview of acaricides and the status of their resistance in tick species, with a focus on *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* ticks.

**Keywords:** Ticks, acaricides, acaricide resistance, microplus ticks, control tick infestations

### Introduction

Ticks are blood sucking ecto-parasites that mostly infect terrestrial and semi-terrestrial vertebrates in tropical and subtropical regions (Guglielmone *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[21]</sup>. Additionally, ticks act as vectors, allowing diseases to spread across their vertebrate hosts. Significant losses occur in the livestock business as a result of host blood depletion, overall pain and irritation, decreased production of dairy and meat, immune function suppression, and hide damage, among other negative effects (Tabor *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[59]</sup>.

### Acaricides

Acaricides are chemicals used to kill ticks and mites. The term ixodicides is sometimes applied to acaricides used against ticks. Commonly used acaricides include

organophosphates (OPs), amitraz, macrocyclic lactones (MLs), synthetic pyrethroids (SPs), Organochlorine (OCs), and fipronil. Through a number of different methods, these acaricides affect the tick central nervous system. The mechanisms include the targets like voltage-gated sodium channels, octopamine tyramine receptors, GABA-gated chloride channels, glutamate gated chloride channels (Glu-Cl), and inhibitors of acetylcholine-esterase (AChEs). Table 1 lists the several kinds of acaricides along with the tick target protein. The existence of different tick species are impacted by the distinct targets and modes of action of various acaricides (Klafke *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[29]</sup>. The many techniques for administering acaricides to host animals include spraying, washing, pouring, and injecting (Food and Agriculture Organisation, 2004) <sup>[16]</sup>.

**Table 1:** Various Acaricides classes and their target protein in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*

S. No	Class of acaricides	Target protein	Reference
1	Organochlorides	GABA-gated chloride channel gene, Voltage-gated sodium channel gene	Hope <i>et al.</i> , 2010 <sup>[23]</sup>
2	Organophosphates	AChE genes	Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2015 <sup>[17]</sup> , Singh <i>et al.</i> , 2016
3	Formamidines (Amitraz)	Octopamine tyramine gene	Takata <i>et al.</i> , 2020, Jonsson <i>et al.</i> , 2018 <sup>[26, 60]</sup>
4	Synthetic Pyrethroids	Voltage-gated sodium channel gene	Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2015 <sup>[17]</sup> ; Nagar <i>et al.</i> , 2018 <sup>[40]</sup> ; Janer <i>et al.</i> , 2021 <sup>[24]</sup>
5	Macrocyclic lactones	Glu-Cl channel gene	Aguilar-Tipacamú <i>et al.</i> , 2016 <sup>[13]</sup>
6	Fipronil	GABA-gated chloride channel gene	Janer <i>et al.</i> , 2021 <sup>[24]</sup>

### Resistance and its Evolution

World Health Organisation (WHO) has been instrumental in fostering international coordination on resistance by offering standardised approaches to address the problem worldwide. Arthropod resistance was defined by the WHO in 1992 as "an inherited characteristic that imparts an increased tolerance to pesticide(s) allowing resistant individuals to survive a concentration that would typically be lethal to the species." Consequently, we can define the resistance as the selection of particular heritable features within an arthropod population (tick), brought on by chemical exposure, that considerably raises the population's proportion of survivors after a standard dose of that chemical. According to Li *et al.* (2003), cross-resistance is

the resistance shown by multiple active chemical components that have similar modes of action.

Ferrari (1996) <sup>[15]</sup> pointed out three prerequisites that must be met for resistance to evolve:

1. There needs to be genetic diversity in the population.
2. There must be discernible phenotypic variations as a result of these genetic variations.
3. The phenotypic variations need to increase survivorship so that the resistance can be handed down to the following generation.

Therefore, Recombination and mutation are two natural processes that lead to the development of resistance genes (Ferrari, 1996) <sup>[15]</sup>

## Acaricide Resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus*

Abbas *et al.* (2014) <sup>[1]</sup> identified many key factors that accelerate the development of acaricide resistance in ticks *viz.* improper dilution, wrong administration, chronic use, and overdose. Guerrero *et al.* (2012a) <sup>[18]</sup> suggested that due

to the favourable conditions brought about by widespread distribution and/or unique life cycle features of various tick species, some species are more likely to develop resistance to acaricides. Table 2 shows the timeline of introduction of common pesticides as acaricides and the year of reporting of tick resistance for the first time as per literature available.

**Table 2:** Timeline of Acaricide: the first report of their introduction and resistance

S. No	Class of Acaricide /common acaricide and Year of introduction	Reference	Report of Resistance in Tick species	Reference
1	Organochlorines (OCs) DDT (Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane) BHC (benzene hexachloride) in 1946	Maunder, 1949 <sup>[39]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Australia in 1960	Stone and Webber, 1960) <sup>[58]</sup>
2	Organophosphates (OPs) Dioxathion in 1955	Obaid <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[45]</sup>	<i>R. decoloratus</i> and <i>Amblyomma variegatum</i> from Zambia in 1987	Luguru <i>et al.</i> , 1987 <sup>[36]</sup>
3	Formamidines Amitraz in 1975	Hollingworth, 1976 <sup>[22]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Brazil in 1995 and also in Mexico in 2001	Martins <i>et al.</i> , 1995a <sup>[37]</sup>
4	Synthetic pyrethroids (SPs) in 1977	Obaid <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[45]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Australia in 1979	Nolan <i>et al.</i> , 1979 <sup>[43]</sup>
5	Macrocyclic lactones (MLs) in 1981	Obaid <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[45]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Brazil in 2001	Martins and Furlong, 2001 <sup>[38]</sup>
6	Fipronil in 1987	Obaid <i>et al.</i> , 2022 <sup>[45]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Uruguay 2007	Cuore <i>et al.</i> , 2007) <sup>[9]</sup>
7	Fluazuron in 1994	Junquera <i>et al.</i> , 2019 <sup>[27]</sup>	<i>R. microplus</i> from Brazil in 2014	Reck <i>et al.</i> , 2014

## Forms of Acaricide Resistance

WHO (1992) identified three ways to observe and monitor resistance:

- At molecular level–To identify the genes involved. It will also provide evidence of the evolutionary process.
- At Phenotypic level – To measure the susceptibility when exposed to a standard dose
- Third level (disease outbreak) – When the chemical is unable to control vector's transmission of disease

In case of ticks three primary forms of known resistance to acaricides that have been reported so far. Metabolic resistance occurs through the detoxification of acaricides by the metabolic activity of enzymes including glutathione S-transferase (GST), esterases, and cytochrome P-450s (CYP), (Guerrero *et al.*, 2012a) <sup>[18]</sup>. " Target site modification resistance " results from changes in conformation of the target site most likely the enzymes and receptors, thus, impairing the drug's ability to interact with the target (Coles

and Dryden, 2014) <sup>[8]</sup>. Reduced penetration resistance refers to the lower ability of acaricides to enter the interior body environment as a result of changes made to the exoskeleton, the outer layer of ticks (Guerrero *et al.*, 2012a) <sup>[18]</sup>. Comprehensive and advanced insecticide resistance monitoring programs, along with the profound and extensive understanding of the mechanisms behind the development of resistance, are crucial for future tick control efforts. As per the literature available, it is found that the resistance to pyrethroid and organophosphate are extensively characterized among different classes of acaricides. However, little is understood at the molecular level. The resistance mechanisms for other acaricides are less well comprehended. Though the target sites of fipronil and macrocyclic lactones are known, studies on their resistance mechanisms are still in early stages. The target site of amitraz is still unknown, hampering mechanistic research on this acaricide (Guerrero *et al.*, 2012a) <sup>[18]</sup>. Table 3 shows different forms of acaricide resistance reported.

**Table 3:** Forms of Acaricide Resistance

S. No	Forms of Acaricide Resistance	Enzyme involved	Reference
1	Metabolic resistance	glutathione S-transferase (GST), esterases, and cytochrome P-450s (CYP)	Guerrero <i>et al.</i> , 2012a <sup>[18]</sup>
2	Target site modification resistance	neuronal enzymes and receptors	Coles and Dryden, 2014 <sup>[8]</sup>
3	Reduced penetration resistance	modifications in the exoskeleton and integument system.	Guerrero <i>et al.</i> , 2012a <sup>[18]</sup>

It is crucial and utmost important to monitor acaricide resistance in the tick population for their optimal and strategic utilization with simple, affordable, and effective testing methods (Sabatini *et al.*, 2001) <sup>[51]</sup>.

## Various Acaricide Monitoring Tools

According to Ferrari (1996) <sup>[15]</sup> the study of insecticide/acaricide resistance should follow this sequence:

- Detection of resistance in a population.
- Collection and laboratory colonization of individual arthropods.
- Identification of the mechanisms of resistance.
- Characterization of the genetic control of resistance.

Detection of resistance in tick population is done by various standard bioassays:

### 1. Larval Packet Test (LPT)

The tick larvae are cultured in acaricide-treated filter paper packets as part of the 1962-developed larval packet test (LPT) (Stone and Haydock, 1962) <sup>[57]</sup>. Resistance to OPs and SPs has been surveyed and diagnosed using this test (Guerrero *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[20]</sup>.

### 2. Larval Immersion Test (LIT)

Shaw (1966) <sup>[54]</sup> invented the larval immersion test. Larvae are immersed in the appropriate doses of acaricidal solution in this assay. According to Rodriguez-Vivas *et al.* (2006b) <sup>[48]</sup> this test is typically used to characterise resistance against amitraz and macrocyclic lactones.

### 3. Adult Immersion Test (AIT)

Engorged female ticks are submerged in acaricide solutions made in accordance with the tested concentrations (Drummond *et al.*, 1973) <sup>[12]</sup>. Although widely applied to test most of the acaricides, but it is not appropriate for testing resistance to amitraz (Jonsson and Hope, 2007) <sup>[25]</sup>.

### 4. Larval Tarsal Test (LTT)

The first-ever larval tarsal test (LTT) is prompt and sensitive *in vitro* test that has been used to assess resistance in many ixodid tick species (Lovis *et al.*, 2011) <sup>[35]</sup>.

### Status of resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* worldwide

Globally, the development of acaricide resistance has accelerated in *R. (B.) microplus* followed by *R. (B.) decoloratus*. Both of them are single-host ticks, therefore, their shorter life cycle necessitates frequent acaricide treatment which leads to resistance development (Guerrero *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[19]</sup>. According to Mekonen *et al.* (2002), acaricide resistance is often rare in multi-host ticks because these ticks feed on both domestic and wild animals. High degrees of variation were seen across studies reporting the development of acaricide resistance in worldwide populations of *R. (B.) microplus*. These variations may be related to the location, the financial standing of farmers, the type of cattle, the amount and frequency of acaricide applications, and the sensitivity of the techniques used to identify acaricide resistance (Shyma *et al.*, 2015) <sup>[55]</sup>.

*R. (B.) microplus* has been removed from the United States and Europe, therefore, no reports on the emergence of resistance were reported in North America and Europe (CMPV, 2018) <sup>[7]</sup>. According to Rodriguez-Vivas *et al.* (2011) <sup>[49]</sup> the majority of *R. (B.) microplus* populations are resistant to organophosphates, synthetic pyrethroids, amitraz, ivermectin, and fipronil in Asia (India), South America (Brazil), and Central America (Mexico). De Oliveira Souza Higa *et al.* (2015) <sup>[11]</sup> state that the emergence of acaricide resistance in Brazilian populations of *R. (B.) microplus* has been linked to the rearing of exotic cattle breeds which are more vulnerable to ticks than native ones. Relatively few publications were found on the development of acaricide resistance in Africa, which may be due to paucity of funds and researchers, as well as the less interest among policymakers. (Ntondini *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[44]</sup>.

### Status of resistance in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in India

There have been many reports of acaricidal resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* populations in India. The state of Punjab has been the focus of reports of *R. (B.) microplus*, as evidenced by the works of Sharma *et al.* (2012) <sup>[53]</sup>, Kumar *et al.* (2013) <sup>[31]</sup>, Nandi *et al.* (2015) <sup>[41]</sup>, Singh and Rath (2014) <sup>[56]</sup>, Singh *et al.* (2015) and Sagar *et al.* (2020) <sup>[52]</sup>. In tick populations in India, FAO (2004) expected the emergence of widespread resistance to acaricides. Acaricide resistance has developed in India due to a number of factors, including climate conditions that support tick growth and survival all year round and inadequate infrastructure and management techniques that create easy-to-proliferate tick populations (Kumar *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[32]</sup>. In addition, farmers now have easy access to acaricides due to the veterinary medicine

industry's liberalisation, and a lack of oversight has resulted in incorrect dosing, more frequent applications, a restricted amount of acaricide rotation, and disregard for the recommended usage guidelines. The lack of established protocols to control tick infestations and veterinarians' disorganised efforts to track the effectiveness of popular acaricides in real-world settings have also led to the emergence of resistance in India (Kumar *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[32]</sup>.

### Resistance status in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* against various Acaricide

According to Kumar *et al.* (2020) <sup>[32]</sup>, SP has been the most prominent acaricide for tick management in cattle during the last 15 years. According to de Oliveira Souza Higa *et al.* (2015) <sup>[11]</sup> prolonged use of pyrethroids has encouraged the population selection of resistant ticks, therefore, speeding up the development of resistance. Many nations have recorded cases of pyrethroids resistance, including Mexico (Fernandez-Salas *et al.*, 2012b), Brazil (Klafke *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[29]</sup>, and India (Kumar *et al.*, 2013; Singh and Rath, 2014; Sagar *et al.*, 2020) <sup>[31, 52, 56]</sup>. One of the most often utilised acaricides for control of *R. (B.) microplus* is amitraz in South America, Australia, and southern Africa (Jonsson and Hope, 2007) <sup>[25]</sup>. Amitraz was first used to suppress OP-resistant tick populations in the 1970s, practically simultaneously with SP but due to its increased cost, its use was curtailed (Jonsson and Hope, 2007). However, amitraz became widely used for tick control in cattle when populations of ticks started to show signs of resistance to SP. Thus, the high rates (>80%) of amitraz resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* populations are likely a result of the constant and careless use of amitraz, particularly at incorrect concentrations. have been documented in numerous nations, such as Mexico (Rosado-Aguilar *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[50]</sup>, Argentina (Cutullea *et al.*, 2013), Colombia (Lopez-Arias *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[34]</sup>, New Caledonia (Barre *et al.*, 2008) <sup>[5]</sup>, India (Kumar *et al.*, 2014) <sup>[33]</sup>, Benin (Adehan *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[2]</sup>, and Brazil (Andreotti *et al.*, 2011) <sup>[4]</sup>. Ivermectin (macrocyclic lactone) is frequently used to manage cattle tick populations and gastrointestinal parasites. In the Mexican veterinary market, for instance, ivermectin is among the most popular antiparasitic medications (Rodriguez-Vivas *et al.*, 2014). But during the past 30 years, *R. (B.) microplus* populations has become resistant to it due to its indiscriminate use despite the fact that numerous studies have shown how effective ivermectin is at controlling the ticks. Studies by various scientists worldwide have documented high levels of ivermectin resistance in *R. (B.) microplus* populations in Mexico, India and Colombia (Perez-Cogollo *et al.*, 2010b; Khangembam *et al.*, 2018; Nandi *et al.*, 2018; Sagar *et al.*, 2020; Villar *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[28, 42, 46, 52, 61]</sup>.

The incidence of acaricide resistance in *R. microplus* species has dramatically increased over the last thirty years. This has mostly been linked to the widespread and careless application of insecticides, which has selected for populations of ticks that are resistant to them (Klafke *et al.*, 2017) <sup>[29]</sup>. Before acaricides were introduced and used, tick populations naturally had minute amounts of the genes that cause resistance to develop. As a result of ongoing selection pressure from acaricide treatments, the frequency of these naturally occurring resistance genes typically rises, decreasing the effectiveness of acaricides (Kumar, 2019) <sup>[33]</sup>.



## Conclusion

The majority of acaricide drugs authorised for use against tick species have caused resistance in several populations of ticks, particularly *R. (B.) microplus*. Therefore, in order to reduce the impacts of acaricide resistance and maintenance of acaricide efficacy, it is imperative to use it strategically. It is also important to monitor the acaricide resistance status of tick populations in different areas. Policymakers and veterinary regulatory agencies should also be familiar with tick management techniques, particularly in nations where tick infestation is a problem.

## References

1. Abbas RZ, Zaman MA, Colwell DD, Gilleard J, Iqbal Z. Acaricide Resistance in Cattle Ticks and Approaches to Its Management: The State of Play. *Vet. Parasitol*,2014:203:6-20. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.03.006 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
2. Adehan SB, Biguezoton A, Adakal H, Assogba MN, Zoungrana S, Gbaguidi AM, *et al.* Acaricide resistance of *Rhipicephalus microplus* ticks in Benin. *Afr. J. Agric. Res*,2016:11(14):1199-1208. [Google Scholar]
3. Aguilar-Tipacamú G, Mosqueda-Gualito J, Cantó-Alarcón GJ, Klafke GM, Arellano-Carvajal F, Alonso-Díaz MM, *et al.* Identification of Mutations in the Glutamate-Dependent Chlorine Channel in *Rhipicephalus Microplus* Resistant and Susceptible to Ivermectins. *Sci. Work. Chiapas*,2016:11:20-26. [Google Scholar]
4. Andreotti R, Guerrero FD, Soares MA, Barros JC, Miller RJ, de León AP. Acaricide resistance of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil. *Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Vet*,2011:20(2):127-133. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
5. Barre N, Li AY, Miller RJ, Gaia H, Delathiere JM, Davey RB, *et al.* *In vitro* and *in vivo* evaluation of deltamethrin and amitraz mixtures for the control of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in New Caledonia. *Vet. Parasitol*,2008:155:110-119. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
6. Castro-Janer E, Rifran L, Gonzalez P, Piaggio J, Gil A, Schumaker TTS. *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) resistance to fipronil in Uruguay evaluated by *in vitro* bioassays. *Vet. Parasitol*,2010:169:172-177. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
7. CMPV. European Medicines Agency; London. Committee for Medical Products for Veterinary Use. Reflection Paper on Resistance in Ectoparasites, 2018, 30. [Google Scholar]
8. Coles TB, Dryden MW. Insecticide/acaricide Resistance in Fleas and Ticks Infesting Dogs and Cats. *Parasi. Vectors*,2014:7:1-10. doi: 10.1186/1756-3305-7-8 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
9. Cuore U, Trelles A, Sanchís J, Gayo V, Solari MA. First Diagnosis of Resistance to Fipronil in the Common Cattle Tick *Boophilus Microplus*. *Veter. (Montevideo)*,2007:42:35-41. [Google Scholar]
10. Cutulléa C, Lovis L, D'Agostino BI, Balbiani GG, Morici G, Citroni D, *et al.* *In vitro* diagnosis of the first case of amitraz resistance in *Rhipicephalus microplus* in Santo Tomé (Corrientes), Argentina. *Vet. Parasitol*,2013:192:296-300. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
11. de Oliveira Souza Higa L, Garcia MV, Barros JC, Koller WW, Andreotti R. Acaricide Resistance Status of the *Rhipicephalus Microplus* in Brazil: A Literature Overview. *Med. Chem*,2015:5:326-333. doi: 10.4172/2161-0444.1000281 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
12. Drummond REA, Ernst SE, Trevino JL, Gladney WJ, Graham OH. *Boophilus Annulatus* and *B. Microplus*: Laboratory Tests of Insecticides. *J. Econ. Entomol*,1973:66:130-133. doi: 10.1093/jee/66.1.130 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
13. Fernández-Salas A, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Alonso MÁ. Resistance of *Rhipicephalus microplus* to amitraz and cypermethrin in tropical cattle farms in Veracruz, Mexico. *J. Parasitol*,2012:98(5):1010-1014. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
14. Fernández-Salas A, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Alonso-Díaz MA, Basurto-Camberos H. Ivermectin resistance status and factors associated in *Rhipicephalus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) populations from Veracruz, Mexico. *Vet. Parasitol*,2012:190:210-215. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
15. Ferrari JA. Insecticide resistance. The biology of disease vectors. Edited by: Beaty BJ, Marquardt WC, Niwot, CO: University Press of Colorado, 1996, 512-529.
16. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. *Guidelines Resistance Management and Integrated Parasite Control in Ruminants*, 2004. Available at: <http://www.fao.org/publications/card/en/c/AG014E> (Accessed April 05, 2022).
17. Ghosh S, Kumar R, Nagar G, Kumar S, Sharma AK, Srivastava A, *et al.* Survey of Acaricides Resistance Status of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus* Collected From Selected Places of Bihar, an Eastern State of India. *Ticks. Tick. Borne. Dis*,2015:6:668-675. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2015.05.013 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
18. Guerrero FD, Lovis L, Martins JR. Acaricide Resistance Mechanisms in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus*. *Rev. Bras. Parasitol*,2012a: 21:1-6. doi: 10.1590/S1984-29612012000100002 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
19. Guerrero F, Pérez de León A, Rodríguez-Vivas R, Jonsson N, Miller R, *et al.* Acaricide Research and Development, Resistance and Resistance Monitoring,2014:2:353-374. [Google Scholar]
20. Guerrero FD, Pérez de León A, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Jonsson N, Miller RJ, Andreotti R. In: *Biology of Ticks*. second ed. Sonenshine D.E., Roe R.R., editors. Oxford University Press; New York: Acaricide research and development, resistance and resistance monitoring, 2014, 353-381. [Google Scholar]
21. Guglielmone AA, Petney TN, Robbins RG. Ixodidae (Acari: Ixodoidea): Descriptions and Redescriptions of All Known Species From 1758 to December 31, 2019,2020:4871:1-322. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.4871.1.1 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]

22. Hollingworth RM. Chemistry, Biological Activity, and Uses of Formamidine Pesticides. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1976;14:57-69. doi: 10.1289/ehp.761457 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
23. Hope M, Menzies M, Kemp D. Identification of a Dieldrin Resistance-Associated Mutation in *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2010;103:1355-1359. doi: 10.1603/EC09267 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
24. Janer EC, Díaz A, Fontes F, Baraibar F, Saporiti T, Olhagaray ME. Molecular Survey of Pyrethroid and Fipronil Resistance in Isolates of *Rhipicephalus Microplus* in the North of Uruguay. *Ticks. Tick. Borne. Dis.* 2021;12:101747. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2021.101747 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
25. Jonsson NN, Hope M. Progress in the Epidemiology and Diagnosis of Amitraz Resistance in the Cattle Tick *Boophilus Microplus*. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2007;146:193-198. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2007.03.006 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
26. Jonsson NN, Klafke G, Corley SW, Tidwell J, Berry CM, Koh-Tan HH. Molecular Biology of Amitraz Resistance in Cattle Ticks of the Genus *Rhipicephalus*. *Front. Biosci. (Landmark)*. 2018;23:796-810. doi: 10.2741/4617 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
27. Junquera P, Hosking B, Gameiro M, Macdonald A. Benzoylphenyl Ureas as Veterinary Antiparasitics. An Overview and Outlook With Emphasis on Efficacy, Usage and Resistance. *Parasite*. 2019;26:1-26. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2019026 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
28. Khangembam R, Singh H, Jyoti Rath SS, Singh NK. Effect of synergists on ivermectin resistance in populations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* from Punjab districts, India. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis.* 2018;9:682-686. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
29. Klafke G, Webster A, Agnol BD, Pradel E, Silva J, de la Canal LH, et al. Multiple Resistance to Acaricides in Field Populations of *Rhipicephalus Microplus* From Rio Grande do Sul State, Southern Brazil. *Ticks. Tick. Borne. Dis.* 2017;8:73-80. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2016.09.019 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
30. Kumar R. Molecular Markers and Their Application in the Monitoring of Acaricide Resistance in *Rhipicephalus Microplus*. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 2019;78:149-172. doi: 10.1007/s10493-019-00394-0 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
31. Kumar R, Nagar G, Sharma AK, Kumar S, Ray DD, Chaudhuri P, et al. Survey of Pyrethroids Resistance in Indian Isolates of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus*: Identification of C190A Mutation in the Domain II of the Para-Sodium Channel Gene. *Acta Trop.* 2013;125:237-245. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2012.10.006 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
32. Kumar R, Sharma AK, Ghosh S. Menace of acaricide resistance in cattle tick, *Rhipicephalus microplus* in India: status and possible mitigation strategies. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2020;278:108993. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
33. Kumar S, Sharma AK, Ray DD, Ghosh S. Determination of discriminating dose and evaluation of amitraz resistance status in different isolates of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in India. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 2014;63:413-422. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
34. Lopez-Arias A, Villar-Argaiz D, Chaparro-Gutierrez JJ, Miller RJ, Perez de Leon AA. Reduced efficacy of commercial acaricides against populations of resistant cattle tick *Rhipicephalus microplus* from two municipalities of Antioquia, Colombia. *Environ. Health Insights*. 2014;8(2):71-80. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
35. Lovis L, Perret JL, Bouvier J, Fellay JM, Kaminsky R, Betschart B, et al. A New *In Vitro* Test to Evaluate the Resistance Level Against Acaricides of the Cattle Tick, *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus*. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2011;182:269-280. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2011.06.004 [PubMed Abstract] [CrossRef Full Text] [Google Scholar]
36. Luguru SM, Chizyuka HGB, Musisi FL. A Survey for Resistance to Acaricides in Cattle Ticks (Acari: Ixodidae) in Three Major Traditional Cattle Areas in Zambia. *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 1987;77:569-574. doi: 10.1017/S0007485300012062 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
37. Martins JR, Corrêa BL, Ceresér VH, Artech CCP. A Situation Reports on Resistance to Acaricides by the Cattle Tick *Boophilus Microplus* in the State of Rio Grande do Sul, Southern Brazil. *Publ. Especial*. 1995a, 1-8. [Google Scholar]
38. Martins JR, Furlong J. Ivermectin Resistance of the Cattle Tick *Boophilus Microplus* in Brazil. *Vet. Rec.* 2001;149:64. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
39. Maunder JCJ. Cattle Tick Control: Results Achieved in the Field With DDT and BHC. *Qld. Agric. J.* 1949, 1-8. [Google Scholar]
40. Nagar G, Sharma AK, Kumar S, Saravanan BC, Kumar R, Gupta S, et al. Molecular Mechanism of Synthetic Pyrethroid and Organophosphate Resistance in Field Isolates of *Rhipicephalus Microplus* Tick Collected From a Northern State of India. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 2018;75:319-331. doi: 10.1007/s10493-018-0265-8 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
41. Nandi A, Jyoti HM, Singh H, Singh NK. Esterase and glutathione S-transferase levels associated with synthetic pyrethroid resistance in *Hyalomma anatolicum* and *Rhipicephalus microplus* ticks from Punjab, India. *Exp. Appl. Acaro.* 2015;66:141-157. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
42. Nandi A, Sagar SV, Chigure G, Fular A, Sharma AK, Nagar G, et al. Determination and validation of discriminating concentration of ivermectin against *Rhipicephalus microplus*. *Vet. Parasitol.* 2018;250:30-34. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
43. Nolan J, Roulston WJ, Schnitzerling HJ. The Potential of Some Synthetic Pyrethroids for Control of the Cattle Tick (*Boophilus Microplus*). *Aust. Vet. J.* 1979;55:463-466. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-0813.1979.tb00369.x [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
44. Ntondini Z, van Dalen EM, Horak IG. The extent of acaricide resistance in 1-, 2- and 3-host ticks on

- communally grazed cattle in the eastern region of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc.*, 2008;79(3):130-135. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
45. Obaid MK, Islam N, Alouffi A, Khan AZ, da Silva Vaz I Jr, Tanaka T, *et al.* Acaricides Resistance in Ticks: Selection, Diagnosis, Mechanisms, and Mitigation. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.*, 2022;12:941831. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.941831. PMID: 35873149; PMCID: PMC9299439.
  46. Perez-Cogollo LC, Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Ramirez-Cruz GT, Rosado-Aguilar JA. Survey of *Rhipicephalus Microplus* Resistance to Ivermectin at Cattle Farms With History of Macrocytic Lactones Use in Yucatan, Mexico. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2010b;172:109-113. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2010.04.030 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  47. Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Miller RJ, Ojeda-Chi MM, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Trinidad-Martínez IC, de León AP. Acaricide and Ivermectin Resistance in a Field Population of *Rhipicephalus Microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) Collected From Red Deer (*Cervus Elaphus*) in the Mexican Tropics. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2014;200:179-188. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.11.025 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  48. Rodríguez-Vivas RI, Rodríguez-Arevalo F, Alonso-Díaz MA, Fragozo-Sanchez H, Santamaria VM, Rosario-Cruz R. Prevalence and Potential Risk Factors for Amitraz Resistance in *Boophilus Microplus* Ticks in Cattle Farms in the State of Yucatan, Mexico. *Prev. Vet. Med.*, 2006b;75:280-286. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2006.04.001 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  49. Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Trees AJ, Rosado-Aguilar JA, Villegas-Perez SL, Hodgkinson JE. Evolution of acaricide resistance: phenotypic and genotypic changes in populations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* in response to pyrethroid selection pressure. *Int. J. Parasitol.*, 2011;41:895-903. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  50. Rosado-Aguilar JA, Rodriguez-Vivas RI, Garcia-Vazquez Z, Fragozo-Sanchez H, Ortiz-Najera A, Rosario-Cruz R. Development of amitraz resistance in populations of *Boophilus microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) undergoing typical amitraz exposure in the Mexican tropics. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2008;152:349-353. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  51. Sabatini GA, Kemp DH, Hughes S, Nari A, Hansen J. Tests to Determine LC50 and Discriminating Doses for Macrocytic Lactones Against the Cattle Tick, *Boophilus Microplus*. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2001;95:53-62. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4017(00)00406-4 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  52. Sagar SV, Saini K, Sharma AK, Kumar S, Kumar R, Fular A, *et al.* Acaricide resistance in *Rhipicephalus microplus* collected from selected districts of Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Punjab states of India. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod.*, 2020;52:611-618. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  53. Sharma AK, Kumar R, Kumar S, Nagar G, Singh NK, Rawat SS, *et al.* Deltamethrin and Cypermethrin Resistance Status of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) Microplus* Collected From Six Agro-Climatic Regions of India. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2012;188:337-345. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.03.050 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  54. Shaw RD. Culture of an Organophosphorus-Resistant Strain of *Boophilus Microplus* (Can.) and an Assessment of its Resistance Spectrum. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, 1966;56:389-405. doi: 10.1017/S0007485300056480 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  55. Shyma KP, Gupta JP, Singh V, Patel KK. *In-vitro* detection of acaricidal resistance status of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* against commercial preparations of deltamethrin, flumethrin and fipronil from North Gujarat, India. *J. Parasitol. Res.*, 2015;2015:1-7. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  56. Singh NK, Rath SS. Esterase mediated resistance against synthetic pyrethroids in populations of *Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus* (Acari: Ixodidae) in Punjab districts of India. *Vet. Parasitol.*, 2014;204:330-338. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
  57. Stone BF, Haydock KP. A Method for Measuring the Acaricide-Susceptibility of the Cattle Tick *Boophilus Microplus* (Can.). *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, 1962;53:563-578. doi: 10.1017/S000748530004832X [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  58. Stone BF, Webber LG. Cattle Ticks, *Boophilus Microplus*, Resistant to DDT, BHC, and Dieldrin. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.*, 1960;11:106-119. doi: 10.1071/AR9600106 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  59. Tabor AE, Ali A, Rehman G, Rocha Garcia G, Zangirolamo AF, Malardo T, *et al.* Cattle Tick *Rhipicephalus Microplus*-Host Interface: A Review of Resistant and Susceptible Host Responses. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.*, 2017, 7. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2017.00506 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  60. Takata M, Misato S, Ozoe F, Ozoe Y. A Point Mutation in the  $\beta$ -Adrenergic-Like Octopamine Receptor: Possible Association With Amitraz Resistance. *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 2020;76:3720-3728. doi: 10.1002/ps.5921 [PubMed] [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  61. Villar D, Puerta J, López A, Chaparro JJ. Ivermectin Resistance of Three *Rhipicephalus Microplus* Populations Using the Larval Immersion Test. *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Pecu.*, 2016;29:51-57. doi: 10.21162/PAKJAS/21.9849 [CrossRef] [Google Scholar]
  62. World Health Organization: Vector resistance to pesticides. Fifteenth report of the WHO expert committee on vector biology and control. 1992, Available at: [http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/37432/1/WHO\\_TRS\\_818.pdf](http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/37432/1/WHO_TRS_818.pdf)