



Toxicological studies on the effect of herbicide (atrazine) on the fecundity and moulting of a tropical soil isopod- *Cylisticus convexus*

Aswin S R¹, M G Sanal Kumar²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Zoology, N.S.S. College, Pandalam, Kerala, India

² Professor and Head, Department of Zoology, N.S.S. College, Pandalam Kerala, India

Abstract

Soil isopods play a critical role to increase soil fertility. Indiscriminate use of herbicides on agricultural purposes resulted in deleterious effects on the biology and species diversity of soil arthropods. The effect of sublethal concentrations of a herbicide atrazine were tested to find out the fecundity and moulting of a soil isopod (*Cylisticus convexus*). The LC 50 and LC 100 values of *Cylisticus convexus* were calculated. The safe and sublethal concentrations of atrazine were very low, indicating high vulnerability of these isopods to agrochemicals. The safe level concentration of atrazine was 0.2897 ppm. The moulting intervals of *Cylisticus convexus* showed variation between herbicide-treated and normal *Cylisticus convexus*. Herbicide-treated *C. convexus* showed a drastic reduction in fecundity and also their moulting intervals were prolonged.

Keywords: atrazine, isopod, *Cylisticus convexus*, herbicide, LC 50, LC 100

Introduction

Soil is contaminated by different xenobiotics arising from sectors like agriculture, industry, transport etc. Modern agricultural practices, such as, use of heavy machinery for tillage operation, chemical fertilizers and pesticides have led to severe impacts on the soil ecosystem. A major portion of these chemicals may persist in the soil and affect non-target and ecologically important organisms. Among these impacts, the reduction in soil biodiversity and degradation of soil quality are often viewed as major threats for the future (Solbrig, 1991) [13]. Land use change and agricultural intensification generate severe habitat degradation or destruction for soil biota (Decaens *et al.*, 2006) [3]. A multi-level approach in toxicity testing with terrestrial isopods has previously been successfully used to identify the hazard of different pesticides and nanomaterials (Stanek *et al.*, 2006; Drobne *et al.*, 2009) [15]. Due to their important ecological role as decomposers of organic material, terrestrial isopods are widely accepted as test organisms in terrestrial ecotoxicology and ecophysiology (Drobne, 1997; Lokke and Vangestel, 1998; Lapanje *et al.*, 2007). The objective of the present study is to assess the toxicity of Atrazine (C₈H₁₄ClN₅) on the fecundity and moulting of a tropical soil isopod-*Cylisticus convexus*.

Materials and Methods

Collection and rearing of *Cylisticus convexus*

Cylisticus convexus were collected from the study area. They were transferred into culture chambers of 5×3.5 cm with a mixture of Plaster of Paris and activated charcoal in the ratio 5:1 as base (Sinder, 1973). Baker's yeast was provided as food for hatching organisms along with water-soaked decaying leaf bits. Mature animals were separated and reared in subculture bottles. They were acclimatized in the laboratory condition for about 20 days prior to experiment.

Preparation of Stock Solution of Atrazine

1000 ppm stock solution of Atrazine was prepared by dissolving the required quantity of chemicals in one liter of distilled water (APHA, 2012) [1]. From this stock solution various concentrations like 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3 and 3.5 ppm Atrazine were prepared for bioassay.

Bio assay

Experiments were conducted in the laboratory using cultured animals. Ten replicates of *C. convexus* and a control were tested for each concentration of this agrochemical. Mortality was recorded at 12, 24, 48, 72 & 96 hours intervals. Bioassay lethal concentrations LC 100 and LC 50 were calculated using probit analysis of Finney (Finney, 1980); safe concentrations were determined by the method suggested by Hart *et al.*, (1945) [8]. Total fecundity was calculated by counting the number of eggs in total oviposition and compared the normal group fecundity with atrazine-treated groups. Moulting interval of normal and agrochemical-treated groups were also recorded. A pair of subadults of ten replicates were exposed to sublethal concentration for 15 days and the fecundity was observed, compared the fecundity with normal group.

Result and Discussions**Mortality****Table 1:** Percentage mortality of *Cylisticus convexus* treated with herbicide, Atrazine

Con: in ppm	Percentage mortality				
	12 hr	24 hr	48hr	72 hr	96 hr
1	6.9	16.9	27.8	32.8	40.6
1.5	12.8	18.9	31.8	44.9	42.6
2	22.8	28.7	37.6	48.6	56.5
2.5	24.7	45.9	44.8	56.8	86.9
3	42.7	53.8	66.9	82.8	96.2
3.5	44.8	60.7	74.8	94.8	100

Cylisticus convexus treated with atrazine were found that at 1 ppm, the mortality started. The mortality was recorded at 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours intervals. 27,31, 37, 44, 66 and 74 percentage mortality were recorded for atrazine concentration of 1,1.5,2,2.5,3 and 3.5 ppm at 48 hours intervals. 32, 44, 48, 56, 82 and 94 percentage mortality was found at 72 hours intervals. 40, 42, 56, 86, 96, and 100 percentage mortality was recorded for 1,1.5,2,2.5,3 and 3.5 ppm concentration of atrazine at 96 hours intervals (Table 1). The result showed that the mortality rate of *Cylisticus convexus* increases with increase in concentration of herbicide, atrazine.

Table 2: Lethal Concentration 50(LC 50) and Lethal Concentration 100(LC 100) values of atrazine for *Cylisticus convexus*

Atrazine	12 hr	24 hr	48hr	72 hr	96 hr
LC 50	3.6887	2.8697	2.3770	1.8654	1.5683
LC 100	6.6587	5.3447	4.7120	3.7654	3.2333

Lethal concentration 50 of Herbicide, atrazine treated *Cylisticus convexus* were 3.6887 ppm at 12 hours, 2.8697 ppm at 24 hours, 2.3770 ppm at 48 hours, 1.8654 ppm at 72 hours and 1.5683 ppm at 96 hours. LC 100 values of atrazine treated *C.convexus* were calculated to be 6.6587, 5.3447, 4.7120, 3.7654 and 3.2333 ppm at 12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 hours intervals (Table 2). The safe level concentration of Herbicide, atrazine was 0.2897 ppm and its sublethal concentration found to be 0.4020 ppm. (Table 3).

Table 3: Safe level and sublethal concentrations of Atrazine for *Cylisticus convexus*

Herbicide	S	SF (ppm)	Sublethal (ppm)
Atrazine	1.27	0.2897	0.4020

Fecundity**Table 4:** Fecundity of normal *Cylisticus convexus*

Replicates	Oviposition 1	Oviposition 2	Oviposition 3	Oviposition 4	Oviposition 5	Mean
1	25	27	23	18	16	21.8
2	23	20	25	21	18	21.4
3	23	25	21	16	13	19.6
4	25	22	19	17	12	19
5	21	22	19	16	14	18.4
6	23	21	22	17	12	19
7	24	26	25	21	17	22.6
8	22	25	23	19	14	20.6
9	25	24	20	19	14	20.4
10	24	26	24	18	13	21

The maximum fecundity of normal *C.convexus* was seen in oviposition 2 in each groups. The maximum fecundity was observed in the group 1 & 7, 22 eggs per broods and minimum was recorded in group 5, 18 eggs per broods (Table 4).

Table 5: Fecundity of *Cylisticus convexus* after treatment with sublethal concentration of Atrazine

Replicates	Oviposition 1	Oviposition 2	Oviposition 3	Oviposition 4	Oviposition 5	Mean
1	8	9	6	7	8	7.6
2	5	6	7	7	6	6.2
3	9	10	6	6	9	8

4	8	10	11	8	7	8.8
5	8	7	6	8	7	7.2
6	11	11	8	9	6	9
7	9	11	11	9	8	9.6
8	10	10	8	10	9	9.4
9	9	12	8	6	8	8.6
10	11	9	11	8	8	9.4

The average number of eggs laid by *C.convexus* after the treatment of sublethal concentration of atrazine was observed between a minimum of 6.2 eggs/broods and maximum of 9.6 eggs /broods. After the treatment of atrazine the number of eggs produced by females were less. This means that the herbicide affect drastically on isopods (Table 5).

Moulting Interval

Table 6: Normal moulting interval of *Cylisticus convexus*

Stage	Sample 1 (Days)	Sample 2 (Days)	Sample 3 (Days)	Sample 4 (Days)	Sample 5 (Days)	Sample 6 (Days)	Sample 7 (Days)	Sample 8 (Days)	Sample 9 (Days)	Sample 10 (Days)	Group mean (Days)
Rest stage	5.3	4.5	4.5	5.3	4	5.3	5.5	4.7	4.8	5	4.8
1 st moult	13.26	14.23	12.25	13.3	14.25	14.3	12	13	13.65	13	13.324
2 nd moult	17	18	18	18.2	18	17.5	18.5	17	18.23	17	17.743
3 rd moult	20.56	21	21.5	22	21	22.54	21.26	21.32	20.45	20	21.163
4 th moult	30.23	29.25	30.35	30	31	29.3	31	29.5	30	30.26	30.089
5 th moult	37.12	38.15	38.56	37	38	37	38.25	37.13	38.42	37.5	37.713

Normal *Cylisticus convexus* showed 5 moults, the first moult started after 5 days of hatching, second moult after 13 days of hatching, third moult after 20 days of hatching, fourth moult after 30 days of hatching and fifth moult after 37 days of hatching (Table 6).

Table 7: Moulting interval of *Cylisticus convexus* after treatment with Atrazine

Stage	Sample 1(Days)	Sample 2(Days)	Sample 3(Days)	Sample 4(Days)	Sample 5(Days)	Sample 6(Days)	Sample 7(Days)	Sample 8(Days)	Sample 9(Days)	Sample 10(Days)	Group mean(Days)
Rest stage	6	6.7	6.5	7	6.8	6.94	7.46	6	7	7.28	6.768
1 st moult	18.23	17.25	18	17	17.5	18.43	16.5	17.32	16.9	17.8	17.493
2 nd moult	27.23	28	28.54	28	27.5	28.5	27.4	28.63	27.56	28.4	27.976
3 rd moult	33.12	33.6	32.16	33	33.5	32.86	32.56	33.25	33.38	32.5	32.993
4 th moult	42.56	41.45	41.26	42.22	42	40.36	42.15	41	42.5	40.5	41.6
5 th moult	52.3	50.23	51.48	50.2	50.5	51.13	49.64	52.11	50.2	52.36	51.015

After the treatment with the sub lethal concentration of atrazine, the moulting intervals of *C.convexus* became prolonged. First moult only being after 17 days, second moult on 27 days, third moult on 32 days, fourth moult on 41 days and fifth moult on 51 days of hatching (Table 7).

Conclusion

Indiscriminate use of toxic agrochemicals has led to the contamination of soil as well as water resources and subsequent loss of population of many useful terrestrial and aquatic organisms. Another important consequence of the use of agrochemicals is the bioaccumulation of these toxins by the soil invertebrates (Sun *et al.*, 2005) ^[14] and the rebyaffecting their physiology (Drobne *et al.*, 2008) ^[4]. The soil dwelling organisms do a responsible function in the ecosystem by organic matter breakdown, nutrient cycling and soil structure stability. Agrochemicals have long been used in agriculture to control pests and diseases in crops and thereby increasing agricultural production. However most of them are toxic to non-target species and may cause negative impacts on beneficial soil macro invertebrates. In the present study it is clear that the extensive application of herbicide affects the reproductive capacity of *Cylisticus convexus*. The body of *C.convexus* is covered with thin cuticle so that it can easily absorb the toxic herbicide through their body surface. The study shows a drastic reduction in the fecundity of herbicide treated *Cylisticus convexus*. Moulting interval of this species were prolonged due to the effect of atrazine. The LC 50 and LC 100 values obtained in the present study underlined the toxicity of this

chemical to soil organism like *C.convexus*. Also the residual remains of this agrochemical in the soil pose a threat to the habitat of soil isopods.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the authorities of research center for providing all essential facilities and DBT-FIST for financial aid.

References

1. APHA. Standard methods for the examination of water and waste water, American Public Health Association, 22nd ed. Washington D C, 2012, 948.
2. Conway GR. Strategic Models, in G R Conway (Ed) Pest and Pathogen Control: Strategic, Tactical and Policy Models, International Series on Applied Systems Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, 1984.
3. Decaens T, Jimenez JJ, Gioia C, Measey GJ, Lavelle P. The value of soil animals for conservation biology. European journal of Soil Biology,2006:42:23-38
4. Drobne D, Mateja B, Cornelis AMV, Vladka L, Primož Z, Anita J, Polonca T. Toxicity of imidacloprid to the terrestrial isopod *Porcellio scaber* (Isopoda, Crustacea), Chemosphere,2008:71:1326-1334.
5. Finney DJ. Statistics for Biologist. Chapman and Hall, London, 1980.
6. Gardi C, Tomaselli M, Parisi V, Petragli A, Santina C. Soil quality indicators and Biodiversity in northern Italian permanent grasslands. European journal of soil Biology,2002:38:103-110.
7. Green CD. The life history and fecundity of *Folsomia candida* (Willem) var. distinct (Bagnall) (Collembola: Isotomidae), Proc. R. Ent. Soc. London (A),1964:39:125-128.
8. Hart WP, Patrik R, Strong ER. Bioassay method for the evaluation of acute toxicity of industrial wastes to fish. Sewage and industrial wastes,1945:23:1380-1397.
9. Hartenstein R. Soil Oribatei-I. Feeding specificity among forest soil Oribatei (Acarina). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am*,1962:55:202-206.
10. Piola L, Fuchs J, Oneto ML, Basack S, Kesten E, Casabé N. Comparative toxicity of two glyphosate-based formulations to *Eisenia andrei* under laboratory conditions. Chemosphere,2013:91:545-551.
11. Ponge JF, Peres G, Guernion M, Ruiz-Camacho N, Cortet J, Pernin C *et al.* The impact of agricultural practices on soil biota: a regional study, *Soil Biol. Biochem*,2013:6:271-284.
12. Paredes SH, Lebeis SL. Giving back to the community: microbial mechanisms of plant- soil interactions, *Functional Ecology*,2016:30:1043-1052.
13. Solbrig OT. From Genes to Ecosystems: A Research Agenda for Biodiversity, IUBS-SCOPE-UNESCO. Monograph series, 1991, 124.
14. Sun Y, Diao X, Zhang Q, Shen J. Bioaccumulation and elimination of avermectin in the earthworms (*Eisenia foetida*). Chemosphere,2005:60:699-704.
15. Stanek K, Drobne D, Trebse P. Linkage of biomarkers along levels of biological complexity in juvenile and adult diazinon fed terrestrial isopod (*Porcellio scaber*, isopoda, crustacea). Chemosphere,2006:64:1745-1752.