

Butterfly-Host plant relationships ecological significance and conservation needs- A review

Darshita Sinha*, Ankur Jyoti Dutta

Department of Life Sciences, Plant Ecology Lab, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh, Assam, India

Abstract

Butterflies, admired for their beauty and ecological importance, exhibit intricate relationships with specific host plants that are critical for their survival and reproduction. This review explores the dependency of 35 butterfly species on their host plants, highlighting the specialized interactions that define these relationships. Host plants are crucial as they provide necessary nutrients and habitat for butterfly larvae, with many species evolving to detoxify or sequester plant chemicals for defense. The review also underscores the broader ecological significance of these interactions, including their role in pollination, food webs, and as indicators of environmental health. However, these relationships are increasingly threatened by habitat loss and fragmentation, climate change, pesticide use, and invasive species. Habitat destruction reduces available resources and isolates butterfly populations, while climate change disrupts the synchrony between butterflies and their host plants. Pesticides directly harm butterflies and their larvae, and invasive species outcompete native host plants. Effective conservation strategies are essential to address these challenges, including habitat preservation and restoration, creating butterfly-friendly environments, and implementing policy measures to protect butterflies and their host plants. It aims to comprehensively understand these relationships and their conservation needs, offering valuable insights for researchers, educators, and policymakers to support butterfly populations and ecosystem health.

Keywords: Butterfly-Host plant, ecological significance, relationship

Introduction

Butterflies, with their vibrant colors and delicate forms, are one of the most admired groups of insects. These insects belong to the order Lepidoptera, which also includes moths (Schlaepfer, 2006) ^[21]. Butterflies undergo complete metamorphosis, involving four distinct stages: egg, larva (caterpillar), pupa (chrysalis), and adult. This complex life cycle is closely intertwined with their environment, particularly with specific plants that serve as their hosts during the larval stage. Host plants are vital for butterfly reproduction and survival. They provide the necessary nutrients and habitat for the developing larvae. The relationship between butterflies and their host plants is often highly specialized (Saldivar & Wilson, 2024) ^[19]. Many butterfly species have evolved to feed exclusively on certain plant species or families, developing mechanisms to detoxify plant chemicals and even sequester them for their own defense. This specialization often leads to a co-evolutionary relationship where both the plant and the butterfly influence each other's development and survival. The importance of butterflies and their host plants extends beyond their ecological roles. Butterflies are excellent indicators of environmental health and biodiversity. They are sensitive to changes in their environment, making them valuable for monitoring the impacts of habitat loss, climate change, and pollution (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2023) ^[25]. Moreover, butterflies contribute to the pollination of many plants, including those of economic importance. Their interactions with plants also support a diverse range of other organisms, forming intricate food webs. Host plants play a crucial role in the life cycle of butterflies. During the larval stage, caterpillars are entirely dependent on these plants for their nutrition. The choice of host plant affects the growth, development, and survival of the larvae (Smilanich & Muchoney, 2022) ^[24]. For instance, the monarch butterfly

(*Danaus plexippus*) lays its eggs exclusively on milkweeds (*Asclepias* spp.), which provide cardiac glycosides that the caterpillars sequester for defense against predators (Pocius *et al.*, 2021) ^[14]. This dependency highlights the critical nature of preserving host plants to ensure the survival of butterfly populations. The decline in butterfly populations globally has raised concerns about the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of ecosystems. Habitat destruction, agricultural intensification, pesticide use, and climate change are major threats to both butterflies and their host plants (Braak *et al.*, 2018) ^[2]. Conservation efforts must focus on preserving and restoring habitats, protecting native vegetation, and mitigating the impacts of climate change. Understanding the specific needs and interactions of butterflies and their host plants is essential for developing effective conservation strategies.

This review aims to provide a comprehensive overview of the relationships between butterflies and their host plants. By compiling a list of 35 butterfly species and their associated host plants, we aim to shed light on the dependencies and ecological roles of these insects. This information is crucial for several reasons highlighting the importance of host plants in the life cycle of butterflies can inform conservation efforts. Protecting and restoring native host plants can support butterfly populations and broader ecosystem health. This can serve as a valuable resource for researchers, educators, and conservationists. It can guide further studies on butterfly-plant interactions and inform educational programs that raise awareness about the importance of biodiversity. By understanding the specific needs of different butterfly species, policymakers and land managers can make informed decisions to protect and enhance butterfly habitats. This includes creating butterfly-friendly landscapes in urban and agricultural areas.

1. Ecological Significance of Butterfly-Host Plant Relationships

Butterfly-host plant relationships are a quintessential example of ecological interdependence and co-evolution. These interactions are not only fascinating from a biological perspective but also play a critical role in maintaining the health and stability of ecosystems. This section delves into the ecological significance of these relationships, highlighting their complexity and importance.

1.1. Co-evolutionary dynamics

The relationship between butterflies and their host plants is often highly specialized, resulting from a long history of co-evolution (Benson *et al.*, 1975) ^[1]. Butterflies and plants have developed mutual adaptations that enhance their survival and reproductive success. For example, many plants have evolved chemical compounds that deter herbivores, yet certain butterfly species have adapted to detoxify these compounds or even use them to their advantage. This mutual adaptation illustrates the intricate evolutionary arms race between herbivores and plants (Dobler, 2001) ^[4].

1.2. Roles in ecosystems

Butterflies contribute to ecosystems in several significant ways. As pollinators, adult butterflies facilitate the reproduction of various flowering plants. Although they are not as efficient as bees, butterflies visit a wide range of plants, aiding in cross-pollination and genetic diversity (Porter, 2023) ^[16]. This role is crucial for the reproduction of many wild plants and some agricultural crops. Caterpillars, the larval stage of butterflies, serve as primary consumers in food webs. They feed on plant tissues, converting plant biomass into animal biomass. This process not only influences plant community dynamics but also supports higher trophic levels (Polis & Strong, 1996) ^[15]. Caterpillars are a vital food source for many predators, including birds, mammals, and other insects. The decline in butterfly populations can thus have cascading effects throughout the food web, impacting a wide range of species.

1.3. Indicators of environmental health

Butterflies are often considered bioindicators, species that can provide insight into the health of the environment. Due to their sensitivity to habitat changes, climate variations, and pollution, fluctuations in butterfly populations can signal broader ecological shifts (Kremen, 1992) ^[9]. Monitoring butterfly populations can therefore help ecologists detect and diagnose environmental issues. For example, a decline in specific butterfly species might indicate the degradation of their host plant habitats or the impact of pesticide use.

1.4. Habitat specificity and diversity

The diversity and specificity of butterfly-host plant relationships contribute to the overall biodiversity of ecosystems. Each butterfly species often relies on a narrow range of host plants, which supports a diversity of plant species (Hawkins & Porter, 2003) ^[6, 16]. This specificity ensures that different butterfly species occupy various niches, reducing direct competition and promoting a rich tapestry of biodiversity. The presence of diverse butterfly species can also enhance the structural complexity of habitats. For example, in tropical forests, butterflies and their larval host plants contribute to the vertical stratification of the forest, with different species utilizing various canopy layers and understories. This stratification supports a wide array of other organisms, from microbes to large mammals, creating a more resilient and productive ecosystem (Richter *et al.*, 2023) ^[18].

1.5. Climate change and adaptation

Climate change poses significant challenges to butterfly-host plant relationships. Shifts in temperature, precipitation patterns, and seasonal cycles can disrupt the synchrony between butterflies and their host plants (Posledovich *et al.*, 2018). Understanding the ecological significance of these relationships helps in predicting and mitigating the impacts of climate change. Conservation strategies that focus on preserving the temporal and spatial availability of host plants can enhance the resilience of butterfly populations. Additionally, studying the adaptive capacities of both butterflies and their host plants to changing conditions can inform more effective conservation practices.

2. Butterfly-host plant relationships

Butterflies and their host plants form a critical component of many ecosystems. The relationship between a butterfly species and its host plant is a key determinant of the butterfly's lifecycle and survival. Each butterfly species has evolved to utilize specific host plants for laying eggs and providing nourishment to its larvae (Menasagi & Kotikal, 2012; Nallu *et al.*, 2017) ^[10]. This section presents a detailed table listing 35 butterfly species and their corresponding host plants, along with the reasons for their dependence on these plants. Table 1 below highlights the intricate relationships between various butterfly species and their host plants. Understanding these dependencies is vital for conservation efforts, as it allows for targeted strategies to protect both the butterflies and the plants they rely on. By preserving and restoring the natural habitats of these plants, we can support the survival of butterfly populations and maintain the ecological balance of their environments.

Table 1: List of different butterflies along with their host plant and their reason for dependency

Sl No:	Name of the species	Vernacular name	Host plant	Dependency	Reference
1.	<i>Danaus plexippus</i>	(Monarch)	Milkweeds (<i>Asclepias</i> spp.)	Sequesters cardiac glycosides for defense against predators	(Pocius <i>et al.</i> , 2021) ^[14]
2.	<i>Vanessa cardui</i>	Painted Lady	Thistles (<i>Cirsium</i> spp.), Mallows (<i>Malva</i> spp.)	Provides essential nutrients and a suitable environment for larval development	(Saldivar & Wilson Rankin, 2024) ^[19]
3.	<i>Pieris rapae</i>	Cabbage White	Crucifers (Brassicaceae)	Detoxifies glucosinolates for growth	(Okamura <i>et al.</i> , 2019) ^[28]
4.	<i>Agraulis vanillae</i>	Gulf Fritillary	Passionflowers (<i>Passiflora</i> spp.)	Sequester compounds for predator deterrence	(De Castro <i>et al.</i> , 2019)

5.	<i>Vanessa Atalanta</i>	Red Admiral	Nettles (<i>Urtica dioica</i>)	High nitrogen content supports rapid growth	(Hofstra <i>et al.</i> , 1985) [30]
6.	<i>Limenitis archippus</i>	Viceroy	Willows (<i>Salix</i> spp.), Poplars (<i>Populus</i> spp.)	Sequesters salicylic acid for defense	(Lambers <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
7.	<i>Papilio Troilus</i>	Spicebush Swallowtail	Spicebush (<i>Lindera benzoin</i>), Sassafras (<i>Sassafras albidum</i>)	Essential oils provide chemical defenses	(Setzer, 2016) [32]
8.	<i>Junonia coenia</i>	Buckeye	Plantains (<i>Plantago</i> spp.)	Sequesters iridoid glycosides for defense	(Carper <i>et al.</i> , 2019) [33]
9.	<i>Nymphalis antiopa</i>	Mourning Cloak	Willows (<i>Salix</i> spp.), Elms (<i>Ulmus</i> spp.)	High nutritional value supports growth	(Byers & Richards, 1986) [34]
10.	<i>Speyeria Cybele</i>	Great Spangled Fritillary	Violets (<i>Viola</i> spp.)	Provides essential nutrients for larval development	(Sims, 2017) [36]
11.	<i>Polyommatus icarus</i>	Common Blue	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i> .	Larvae develop faster and gain more weight when feeding on cyanogenic plants, suggesting an ability to metabolize excess nitrogen for growth	(Goverde <i>et al.</i> , 2008) [37]
12.	<i>Colias philodice</i>	Clouded Sulphur	Legumes (Fabaceae)	Nitrogen-rich plants support larval growth	(Karowe, 2007) [38]
13.	<i>Ariadne merione merione</i>	Castor butterfly	<i>Ricinus communis</i> L.	Influences the reproductive success and breeding patterns of <i>A. merione</i>	(Atluri <i>et al.</i> , 2010) [39]
14.	<i>Aglaia urticae</i>	Small Tortoiseshell	Nettles (<i>Urtica dioica</i>)	High nitrogen content supports larval growth	(Xi <i>et al.</i> , 2024) [40]
15.	<i>Polygonia c-album</i>	Comma	Nettles (<i>Urtica dioica</i>), Elm (<i>Ulmus</i> spp.)	Nutritional content supports development	(Schneider <i>et al.</i> , 2024) [41]
16.	<i>Graphium sarpedon</i>	Common Bluebottle	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i>	Essential oils provide chemical defenses	(Li <i>et al.</i> , 2010) [42]
17.	<i>Strymon bubastus</i>	Neotropical hairstreak	<i>Phyla nodiflora</i> and <i>Waltheria ovata</i>	Provides essential nutrients for larval growth	(Vargas <i>et al.</i> , 2016) [43]
18.	<i>Aglaia io</i>	Peacock Butterfly	Nettles (<i>Urtica dioica</i>)	High nitrogen content supports rapid growth	(Serruys & Van Dyck, 2014) [44]
19.	<i>Danaus gilippus</i>	Queen	<i>Asclepias nyctaginifolia</i> .	Support growth and larvae and nectar plants. It satisfies their caloric needs as adults.	(Saul-Gershenz <i>et al.</i> , 2020) [45]
20.	<i>Junonia coenia</i>	Common Buckeye	Plantains (<i>Plantago</i> spp.), Snapdragons (<i>Antirrhinum</i> spp.)	Sequesters iridoid glycosides for defense	(Carper <i>et al.</i> , 2019) [33]
21.	<i>Papilio rutulus</i>	Western Tiger Swallowtail	Willows (<i>Salix</i> spp.), Cottonwoods (<i>Populus</i> spp.)	Nutritional value supports larval growth	(Dowell & Scriber, 2023) [46]
22.	<i>Colias eurytheme</i>	Orange Sulphur	Legumes (Fabaceae)	Nitrogen-rich plants support larval development	(Sourakov & Sourakov, 2024) [47]
23.	<i>Zerene cesonia</i>	Southern Dogface	Legumes (Fabaceae)	High nitrogen content supports growth	(Vargas <i>et al.</i> , 2015) [48]
24.	<i>Pontia protodice</i>	Checkered White	Crucifers (Brassicaceae)	Provides essential nutrients for development	(Harvey & Fortuna, 2012) [49]
25.	<i>Papilio crino</i>	Common Banded Peacock	Rutaceae family	Sequesters essential oils for chemical defense	(Deschamps-Cottin <i>et al.</i> , 1997) [50]
26.	<i>Pieris napi</i>	Green-veined White	Crucifers (Brassicaceae)	Detoxifies glucosinolates for growth	(Okamura <i>et al.</i> , 2019) [28]

3. Factors Affecting Butterfly-Host Plant Dynamics

3.1. Habitat Loss and Fragmentation

Habitat loss and fragmentation are among butterfly populations and host plants' most significant threats. Urbanization, deforestation, and agricultural expansion have led to the widespread destruction of natural habitats, reducing the availability of suitable environments for butterflies to thrive (Josephitis, 2014) [7]. As habitats are destroyed, butterflies lose the plants they rely on for laying eggs and feeding their larvae. Fragmentation further compounds this problem by isolating remaining habitat patches, making it difficult for butterflies to find and colonize these areas. This isolation can lead to reduced genetic diversity, increased inbreeding, and a higher risk of local extinctions. Additionally, fragmented landscapes often lack the continuity needed for butterflies to move freely between habitats, which is crucial for maintaining healthy

populations and enabling butterflies to adapt to environmental changes (Wynhoff *et al.*, 1996) [27].

The impact of habitat loss and fragmentation is particularly pronounced in specialized butterfly species that have narrow host plant preferences. These species are more vulnerable to habitat changes because they cannot easily switch to alternative plants. Conservation efforts must prioritize the preservation and restoration of continuous habitats, ensuring that there are corridors or stepping stones that allow butterflies to move between habitat patches. By maintaining and enhancing habitat connectivity, we can support the survival and resilience of butterfly populations (Brückmann *et al.*, 2010).

3.2. Climate change

Climate change is another critical factor affecting butterfly-host plant dynamics. Alterations in temperature,

precipitation patterns, and seasonal cycles influence the distribution, abundance, and phenology of both butterflies and their host plants. Recent studies have shown that climate change is causing butterfly species to shift their ranges upwards in elevation and towards higher latitudes. Kerner *et al.* (2022)^[8] found that alpine butterflies are moving upslope faster than their host plants, potentially leading to mismatches in their relationships. Wilson *et al.* (2007)^[26] observed similar uphill shifts in butterfly communities in central Spain, accompanied by declines in species richness, particularly at lower elevations. Schweiger *et al.* (2008)^[22] used ecological niche models to predict substantial spatial mismatches between a monophagous butterfly and its host plant under various climate change scenarios. These mismatches could result in significant range contractions for the butterfly, especially if both species have limited dispersal abilities. These findings highlight the complex interactions between climate change, butterfly distributions, and their host plants.

3.3. Pesticide use

The widespread use of pesticides in agriculture and urban environments poses a significant threat to butterflies and their host plants. Pesticides, including insecticides and herbicides, can have both direct and indirect effects on butterfly populations (Pekin, 2013)^[13]. Insecticides can have significant impacts on butterflies at various life stages. Chronic and acute toxicity studies show that common agricultural insecticides like chlorantraniliprole and beta-cyfluthrin are highly toxic to monarch butterflies, affecting eggs, larvae, pupae, and adults. Even sublethal exposure to pesticides can lead to reduced wing length in adult monarchs, potentially impacting their migratory success (Olaya-Arenas *et al.*, 2020)^[12]. The effects of insecticides on butterflies are complex, interacting with various biotic and abiotic factors and manifesting at multiple levels from molecular to metapopulation (Braak *et al.*, 2018)^[2]. While some studies have found relatively weak effects on larval survival and development, stronger sublethal effects are often observed in adults (Olaya-Arenas *et al.*, 2020)^[12]. However, there is a need for more comprehensive research on the impacts of chemical insecticides on non-target Lepidoptera, given their ecological importance.

3.4. Invasive Species

Invasive plant species can significantly disrupt butterfly-host plant relationships by outcompeting native host plants, altering habitat structure, and reducing overall plant diversity (Gallien *et al.*, 2016)^[5]. These invasions can lead to a decline in butterfly diversity and abundance, particularly affecting specialist species with specific host plant requirements. However, the impact of exotic plants on butterflies is complex. In some cases, butterflies may adapt to use exotic plants as hosts, potentially serving as a "life raft" for certain populations (Braga, 2023)^[3]. For instance, *Euphydryas editha taylori* in Oregon became dependent on an exotic host plant for survival (Severns & Warren, 2008)^[23]. Nevertheless, the overall effect of non-native plants on lepidopteran communities is generally negative, reducing species richness, abundance, and host specialization. Conservation efforts must carefully consider the role of exotic plants in butterfly ecosystems to develop effective management strategies (Severns & Warren, 2008)^[23].

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, butterfly-host plant relationships are a cornerstone of ecosystem health and biodiversity, shaped by intricate co-evolutionary dynamics. This review has highlighted the critical dependencies between various butterfly species and their specific host plants, emphasizing the ecological significance and the threats posed by habitat loss, climate change, pesticide use, and invasive species. Understanding these interactions is essential for developing effective conservation strategies, which should focus on habitat preservation and restoration, creating butterfly-friendly environments, and implementing robust policy measures. By protecting these relationships, we can ensure the continued survival of butterfly populations and the overall health of our ecosystems, benefiting both nature and humanity.

References

1. Benson WW, Brown KS, Gilbert LE. Coevolution of plants and herbivores: passion flower butterflies. *Evolution*, 1975;29(4):659. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2407076>
2. Braak N, Neve R, Jones AK, Gibbs M, Breuker CJ. The effects of insecticides on butterflies – A review. *Environmental Pollution*, 2018;242:507–518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2018.06.100>
3. Braga MP. Are exotic host plants a life raft or a trap for butterflies? *Current Opinion in Insect Science*, 2023;58:101074. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2023.101074>
4. Dobler S. Evolutionary aspects of defense by recycled plant compounds in herbivorous insects. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 2001;2(1):15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-1791-00032>
5. Gallien L, Altermatt F, Wiemers M, Schweiger O, Zimmermann NE. Invasive plants threaten the least mobile butterflies in Switzerland. *Diversity and Distributions*, 2016;23(2):185–195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12513>
6. Hawkins BA, Porter EE. Does herbivore diversity depend on plant diversity? The case of California butterflies. *The American Naturalist*, 2003;161(1):40–49. <https://doi.org/10.1086/345479>
7. Josephitis ES. Central Florida butterfly conservation: The importance of host plants in sandhill and urban habitats, 2014.
8. Kerner JM, Krauss J, Maihoff F, Bofinger L, Classen A. Alpine butterflies want to fly high: Species and communities shift upwards faster than their host plants. *Ecology*, 2022, 104(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3848>
9. Kremen C. Assessing the indicator properties of species assemblages for natural areas monitoring. *Ecological Applications*, 1992b;2(2):203–217. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1941776>
10. Menasagi JB, Kotikal YK. Studies on the host plants of butterflies. *Asian Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2012;7:18-29.
11. Nallu S, Hill JA, Don K, Sahagun C, Zhang W, Meslin C, *et al.* The molecular genetic basis of herbivory between butterflies and their host plants. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2018;2(9):1418–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0629-9>

12. Olaya-Arenas P, Hauri K, Scharf ME, Kaplan I. Larval pesticide exposure impacts monarch butterfly performance. *Scientific Reports*, 2020, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71211-7>
13. Pekin BK. Effect of Widespread Agricultural Chemical Use on Butterfly Diversity across Turkish Provinces. *Conservation Biology*, 2013;27(6):1439–1448. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12103>
14. Pocius VM, Majewska AA, Freedman MG. The Role of Experiments in Monarch Butterfly Conservation: A review of recent studies and approaches. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 2021;115(1):10–24. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/saab036>
15. Polis GA, Strong DR. Food web complexity and community dynamics. *The American Naturalist*, 1996;147(5):813–846. <https://doi.org/10.1086/285880>
16. Porter S. Why pollinators Matter - Butterfly Pavilion. Butterfly Pavilion, 2023. <https://butterflies.org/why-pollinators-matter/>
17. Posledovich D, Toftegaard T, Wiklund C, Ehrlén J, Gotthard K. Phenological synchrony between a butterfly and its host plants: Experimental test of effects of spring temperature. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 2017;87(1):150–161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12770>
18. Richter A, De Souza Mendonça M, Gawlinski K, Iserhard CA. Microclimatic Fluctuation throughout the Day Influences Subtropical Fruit-Feeding Butterfly Assemblages between the Canopy and Understory. *Diversity*, 2023;15(4):560. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d15040560>
19. Saldivar JA, Wilson-Rankin EE. Struggling to survive: A comparison of *Vanessa cardui* larval survivorship on putative host plants. *Ecosphere*, 2024, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4810>
20. Sánchez-Bayo F, Wyckhuys KaG. Further evidence for a global decline of the entomofauna. *Austral Entomology*, 2020;60(1):9–26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aen.12509>
21. Schlaepfer GG. Butterflies. Marshall Cavendish, 2006.
22. Schweiger O, Settele J, Kudrna O, Klotz S, Kühn I. Climate Change Can Cause Spatial Mismatch of Tropically Interacting Species. *Ecology*, 2008;89(12):3472–3479. <https://doi.org/10.1890/07-1748.1>
23. Severns PM, Warren AD. Selectively eliminating and conserving exotic plants to save an endangered butterfly from local extinction. *Animal Conservation*, 2008;11(6):476–483. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-1795.2008.00203.x>
24. Smilanich AM, Muchoney ND. Host plant effects on the caterpillar immune response. In *Fascinating life sciences*, 2022, 449–484). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-86688-4_14
25. Upadhyay R, Gogoi R, Ahmed R, Limbu R. A preliminary checklist of butterflies (Insecta: Lepidoptera) from Vijaynagar, district Changlang, Arunachal Pradesh, India. *Asian Journal of Conservation Biology*, 2023;12(1):151–169.
26. Wilson RJ, Gutiérrez D, Gutiérrez J, Monserrat VJ. An elevational shift in butterfly species richness and composition accompanying recent climate change. *Global Change Biology*, 2007;13(9):1873–1887. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01418.x>
27. Wynhoff I, Oostermeijer JGB, Scheper M, Van Der Made JG. Effects of habitat fragmentation on the butterfly *Maculinea alcon* in the Netherlands. In *The GeoJournal library*, 1996, 15–23. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-0343-2_3
28. Okamura Y, Tsuzuki N, Kuroda S, Sato A, Sawada Y, Hirai MY, *et al.* Interspecific Differences in the Larval Performance of *Pieris* Butterflies (Lepidoptera: Pieridae) Are Associated with Differences in the Glucosinolate Profiles of Host Plants. *Journal of Insect Science*, 2019, 19(3). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jisesa/iez035>
29. Pinheiro de Castro EC, Zagrobelny M, Zurano JP, Zikan Cardoso M, Feyereisen R, Bak S. Sequestration and biosynthesis of cyanogenic glucosides in passion vine butterflies and consequences for the diversification of their host plants. *Ecology and Evolution*, 2019;9:5079–5093.
30. Hofstra R, Lanting L, De Visser R. Metabolism of *Urtica dioica* as dependent on the supply of mineral nutrients. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 1985;63(1):13–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1985.tb02811.x>
31. Lambers H, Chapin FS, Pons TL, Lambers H, Chapin FS, Pons TL. Ecological biochemistry: allelopathy and defense against herbivores. *Plant physiological ecology*, 2008, 445–477.
32. Setzer WN. Chemical composition of the leaf essential oil of *Lindera benzoin* growing in North Alabama. *American Journal of Essential Oils and Natural Products*, 2016;4:01–03.
33. Carper AL, Enger M, Bowers MD. Host plant effects on immune response across development of a specialist caterpillar. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 2019, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00208>
34. Byers J, Richards K. Spiny Elm Caterpillars, *Nymphalis Antiopa* (Nymphalidae: Lepidoptera), Feeding On Sainfoin, *Onobrychis Viciaefolia* (Leguminosae). *The Canadian Entomologist*, 1986;118(9):941–942. <https://doi.org/10.4039/ent118941-9>
35. Hall DW, Butler JF. Common name: mourning cloak (known in the United Kingdom as the Camberwell beauty) *Nymphalis antiopa* (Linnaeus) (Insecta: Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae: Nymphalinae). *EDIS*, 2009, (6). <https://doi.org/10.32473/edis-in821-2009>
36. Sims S. *Speyeria* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) conservation. *Insects*, 2017;8(2):45. <https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8020045>
37. Goverde M, Bazin A, Kéry M, Shykoff JA, Erhardt A. Positive effects of cyanogenic glycosides in food plants on larval development of the common blue butterfly. *Oecologia*, 2008;157(3):409–418. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-008-1096-9>
38. Karowe DN. Are legume-feeding herbivores buffered against direct effects of elevated carbon dioxide on host plants? A test with the sulfur butterfly, *Colias philodice*. *Global Change Biology*, 2007;13(10):2045–2051. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01422.x>
39. Atluri JB, Samatha B, Bhupathi Rayalu M, Sandhya Deepika D, Subba Reddi C. Ecobiology of the common castor butterfly *Ariadne merione merione* (Cramer)(Lepidoptera: Rhopalocera:

- Nymphalidae). *Journal of Research on the Lepidoptera*, 2010;42:13-20.
40. Xi O, Guo W, Hu H. Analysis of Genes Associated with Feeding Preference and Detoxification in Various Developmental Stages of *Aglaia urticae*. *Insects*, 2024;15(1):30.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/insects15010030>
 41. Schneider K, Steward RA, De La Paz Celorio-Mancera M, Janz N, Moberg D, Wheat C W, *et al.* Plasticity for the win: Flexible transcriptional response to host plant switches in the comma butterfly (*Polygonia c-album*). *Molecular Ecology*, 2024.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17479>
 42. Li J, Wakui R, Tebayashi S, Kim C. Volatile Attractants for the Common Bluebottle, *Graphium sarpedon nipponum*, from the Host, *Cinnamomum camphora*. *Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry*, 2010;74(10):1987–1990.
<https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.100118>
 43. Vargas HA, Vargas-Ortiz M, Bobadilla D, Duarte M, Huanca-Mamani W. Larval Polychromatism in the Neotropical Hairstreak *Strymon bubastus* (Stoll) (Lycaenidae, Theclinae, Eumaeini) Associated with Two Newly Documented Host Plants in the Atacama Desert. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, 2016;70(2):153–157.
<https://doi.org/10.18473/lepi.70i2.a11>
 44. Serruys M, Van Dyck H. Development, survival, and phenotypic plasticity in anthropogenic landscapes: trade-offs between offspring quantity and quality in the nettle-feeding peacock butterfly. *Oecologia*, 2014;176(2):379–387.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3016-5>
 45. Saul-Gershenz L, Grodsky SM, Hernandez RR. Ecology of the Western Queen Butterfly *Danaus gilippus thersippus* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae) in the Mojave and Sonoran Deserts. *Insects*, 2020;11(5):315.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/insects11050315>
 46. Dowell RV, Scriber JM. Host Plant Mediated Differences in *Papilio rutulus* Lucas Larval Growth (Papilionidae). *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, 2023, 77(2). <https://doi.org/10.18473/lepi.77i2.a3>
 47. Sourakov A, Sourakov AA. *The Little Book of Butterflies*. Princeton University Press, 2024, 1.
 48. Vargas HA, Cerdeña J, Lamas G. *Zerene cesonia limonella* Lamas (Pieridae): First Distribution Record in Chile and First Host Plant Record. *Journal of the Lepidopterists' Society*, 2015;69(4):326–327.
<https://doi.org/10.18473/lepi.69i4.a8>
 49. Harvey JA, Fortuna TM. Chemical and structural effects of invasive plants on herbivore–parasitoid/predator interactions in native communities. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 2012;144(1):14-26.
 50. Deschamps-Cottin M, Roux M, Descimon H. Valeur trophique des plantes nourricières et préférence de ponte chez *Parnassius apollo* L. (Lepidoptera, Papilionidae). *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series III-Sciences de la Vie*, 1997;320(5):399-406.